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#### Abstract

We present explicit formulae for the index forms of multiquadratic number fields. For octic fields we calculate all potential field indices and characterize the corresponding fields. We also show that any prime power $p^{k}$ divides the field index if the degree $2^{r}$ is sufficiently large. Our method differs from all others which have been previously used.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $K$ be an algebraic number field with maximal order $o_{K}$. For $\alpha \in o_{K}$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-module index $I(\alpha)=\left(o_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$ is also said to be the index of the element $\alpha$. The greatest common divisor of the indices of all integral elements of $K$ is called the field index of $K$. (In older literature, see Hasse [4] for example, the field index is also denoted as common inessential discriminant divisor.) Problem 22 in the chapter "Problems" of Narkiewicz [9] requires to develop an explicit formula for the exact power of a prime number $p$ dividing the field index.

The first result in this direction is due to T. Engstrom [2] who showed in 1930 that for number fields $K$ of degree less than eight the exact power of a prime $p$ dividing the field index is determined by the decomposition type of the prime ideal generated by $p$ in $K$. He explicitly formulated that dependance. His results were generalized in the 1985 thesis of E. Nart [5] who developed a $p$-adic characterization of the field index.

Engstrom also showed that for quartic fields $K$ the field index is of the form $2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta}$ with $\alpha \leq 2$ and $\beta \leq 1$. The latter result was reproved by T. Nakahara [8] in 1983 for biquadratic fields. Nakahara also showed that the field index is odd precisely if the discriminant of the field is even. The case of biquadratic fields was taken up again by Gaál, Pethő and Pohst [3] who showed in 1991 that each possible value $2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta}$ indeed occurs as field index of a biquadratic field and presented infinite families of fields having the pertinent field index.

The results of Engstrom were explicitly extended to fields up to degree 12 by J. Śliwa [13] already in 1982, however only for non ramified primes $p$. We note that our results for non ramified primes $p$ dividing the field index of $K=$ $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{c})$ together with Śliwa's tables determine the decomposition type of the principal ideal generated by $p$ in terms of arithmetical properties of the generating elements $a, b, c$.

Later G. Nyul [10] studied the case of multiquadratic number fields $K=$ $K_{r}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{a_{r}}\right)$ of degree $2^{r}$ in 2002. He proved that fields $K_{r}$ with odd discriminant do not have a power integral basis. For $r=3$ he showed non-monogeneity under suitable conditions for the generators. His results were made more precise by Motoda and Nakahara [6] who showed in 2004 that multiquadratic number fields $K_{r}$ are never monogenic for $r \geq 4$. Again two years later, Motoda, Nakahara and Park [7] proved that the cyclotomic field $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{24}\right)=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-3})$ is the only monogenic multiquadratic
field for $r=3$.
In this paper we generalize previous results for multiquadratic number fields $K_{r}$ of degree $2^{r}$ in two respects. Starting from a suitable integral basis of such fields given in [11] and [12] we develop two versions of the corresponding index form both of which split over $\mathbb{Z}$ into a product of $2^{r}-1$ factors of degree $2^{r-1}$ each. Then we solve the problem of Narkiewicz for $r=3$. We emphasize that our method is completely different from previous ones. Contrary to [3] no computer calculations are needed. Finally, we use the new method to show that the field index of $K_{r}$ is divisible by any prime power $p^{k}$ provided that $r$ is large enough.

Acknowledgement We thank the referee for his careful reading of our manuscript and important references to previous literature. His remarks helped to improve the quality of our paper considerably.

## 2 Multiquadratic Number Fields and Their Index Forms

We shall consider multiquadratic number fields $K=K_{r}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{a_{r}}\right)$ for square-free rational integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ which need to be chosen such that the field $K$ has degree $2^{r}$. The case $r=1$ being trivial and the case $r=2$ having been solved in the paper by Gaál, Pethő and Pohst [3] we concentrate on $r \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 3$ for the remainder of this paper.

In order to make the presentation easier we adopt a normalization of the generating elements of $K / \mathbb{Q}$ introduced by B. Schmal in [11].

Step 1 Let $p$ be a fixed prime number and let $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ be minimal such that $p$ divides $a_{i}$. If there exists an index $i<j \leq r$ with $p \mid a_{j}$ then we replace the pair $\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)$ by $\left(a_{i}, a_{i} a_{j} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)^{2}\right)$. For the new generators $a_{i}, a_{j}$ only one will be divisible by $p$. Repeated application of this procedure yields elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ among which only one, say $a_{1}$, is divisible by $p$.

Step 2 After Step 1 we may assume that there is at most one generating element which is divisible by 2 , say $a_{1}$. Then all generating elements except maybe $a_{1}$ are odd. We claim that we can alter those such that at most one odd generator is congruent to 3 modulo 4 . Namely, if there exist $a_{i} \neq a_{j}$ which are both congruent to 3 modulo 4 we replace the pair ( $a_{i}, a_{j}$ ) by
$\left(a_{i},\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right) / \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)^{2}\right)$. This new pair satisfies the congruence behavior we requested.

After this procedure we obtain normalized generators.

Lemma 2.1 (Schmal) Generating square-free integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ of a multiquadratic number field $K_{r}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{a_{r}}\right)$ can be chosen subject to the following conditions.
$a_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ for $3 \leq i \leq r$, and the pair $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ belongs to one of 3 congruence categories modulo 4 :
(i) $a_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod 4, a_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$;
(ii) $a_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $a_{1} \equiv 3,2 \bmod 4$;
(iii) $a_{1} \equiv 2 \bmod 4, a_{2} \equiv 3 \bmod 4$.

For this special form of the generators B. Schmal established integral bases for $K_{r}$. We follow his ideas but rather use the notation of Schmitt and Zimmer [12]. Let $n:=2^{r}$. For each integer $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is a unique 2 -adic presentation

$$
j-1=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{j i} 2^{i-1}
$$

Accordingly, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j}=\prod_{i=1}^{r}{\sqrt{a_{i}}}^{\alpha_{j i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we shall make the radicands

$$
b_{j}:=\prod_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}^{\alpha_{j i}}
$$

square-free. For any prime number $p$ we denote by $\nu_{p}$ the corresponding exponential valuation, i.e. $\nu_{p}(x)$ is the exact power of $p$ dividing $x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. We put

$$
g_{j}:=\prod_{p \mid b_{j}} p^{\mu_{j}} \text { with } \mu_{j}= \begin{cases}\nu_{p}\left(b_{j}\right) / 2 & \text { for } \nu_{p}\left(b_{j}\right) \text { even }  \tag{2}\\ \left(\nu_{p}\left(b_{j}\right)-1\right) / 2 & \text { for } \nu_{p}\left(b_{j}\right) \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (Schmal) An integral basis of $K_{r}$ is given by

$$
\omega_{j}:=\frac{1}{2^{\delta_{j}} g_{j}} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sqrt{a_{i}}-a_{i}\right)^{\alpha_{j i}} \quad\left(i \leq j \leq 2^{r}\right)
$$

where the $\alpha_{j i}$ were defined in (1), the $g_{j}$ in (2) and the $\delta_{j}$ satisfy

$$
\delta_{1}=0, \delta_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { for } a_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\
0 & \text { for } a_{1} \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{j i}-\beta_{j} \quad(j>2)\right.
$$

with

$$
\beta_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { for }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv(2,1),(3,1) \bmod 4, & \alpha_{j 1}=1 \\
1 & \text { for }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv(2,3) \bmod 4, & \alpha_{j 1}=1 \text { or } \alpha_{j 2}=1 \\
0 & \text { else }
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ denote the different prime numbers dividing $a_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{r}$ the discriminant of $K=K_{r}$ becomes

$$
d_{K}=\left(2^{\ell} p_{1} \cdots p_{s}\right)^{2^{r-1}}
$$

with

$$
\ell=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { for }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv(1,1) \bmod 4, & \text { case }(i) \\
2 & \text { for }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv(3,1),(2,1) \bmod 4, & \text { case (ii) } \\
3 & \text { for }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv(2,3) \bmod 4, & \text { case (iii) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example For $r=3$ we improve on the form of that integral basis. We follow the ideas in the proof of Satz 3.2 in [11]. For abbreviation we write $a=a_{1}, b=a_{2}, c=a_{3}$ and set
$g=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b), h=\operatorname{gcd}(a, c), k=\operatorname{gcd}(b, c), l=g h k /(\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c))^{2}, f=l / g$.
Then we obtain the following $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{8}$ for the maximal order $o_{3}$ of $K_{3}$. (We remark that also in the case $r=2$ we need to consider only 3 cases instead of 5 in the earlier paper by K.S.Williams [14].)
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & \\ & (i) & (i i) \\ & (a, b, c) \equiv(1,1,1) \bmod 4 & a \equiv 3,2 \bmod 4 \\ (b, c) \equiv(1,1) \bmod 4\end{array}\right)(a, b, c) \equiv(2,3,1) \bmod 4\right)$

Later we shall use the fact that this integral basis is obtained from the $\mathbb{Q}$-basis

$$
\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{8}\right)=(1, \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{a b}, \sqrt{c}, \sqrt{a c}, \sqrt{b c}, \sqrt{a b c})
$$

of (1) upon multiplication by an upper triangular matrix $T=\left(t_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{8 \times 8}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{8}\right) T \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominators of the $t_{i j}$ are products of a power of 2 with exponent $\leq 3$ and a divisor of $a b c$. Especially, the diagonal elements $t_{i i}$ are fractions with numerator 1. Their product equals the inverse of the index of the order generated by $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{8}$ in the maximal order $o_{3}$ of $K_{3}$.

The conjugates of $K=K_{r}$ are denoted by $K^{(1)}=K, \ldots, K^{(n)}$. We define linear forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}(\mathbf{x}):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \omega_{i}^{(j)} \quad(1 \leq j \leq n) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the index form $I$ of $K$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{K}}} \prod_{1 \leq \nu<\mu \leq n}\left(L_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})-L_{\nu}(\mathbf{x})\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a homogenous polynomial in $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of degree $n(n-1) / 2$. We will see that it factors over $\mathbb{Z}$ into factors of degree $n / 2$. (For $r=1$ this is trivial, for $r=2$ this was shown in [3].)

It is well-known that $K_{r} / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois with Galois group $G=G_{r}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong$ $C_{2}^{r}$. More precisely, we have

$$
G=G_{r}=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \times \cdots \times\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle
$$

with

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(\sqrt{a_{j}}\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a_{j}} & \text { for } j \neq i \\
-\sqrt{a_{i}} & \text { for } j=i
\end{aligned} \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq r)\right.
$$

Any element $\sigma \in G$ has a presentation

$$
\sigma=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{n_{i}} \text { with } n_{i} \in\{0,1\}
$$

If $\sigma$ is not the identity then it generates a subgroup of order 2 in $G$. We choose a suitable system of residue class representatives for $G /\langle\sigma\rangle$ in the following way. There is a minimal index $k \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $n_{k}=1$. As set of residue class representatives we take

$$
\mathcal{R}:=\left\{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{n_{i}} \mid n_{i} \in\{0,1\}, 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}
$$

We note that $\mathcal{R}$ is a subgroup of $G$ of order $n / 2$. We write $\mathcal{R}=\left\{\mu_{1}=\right.$ id, $\left.\mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n / 2}\right\}$ for abbreviation.

Lemma 2.3 For $i d \neq \sigma \in G$ the polynomial

$$
F_{\sigma}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right):=\prod_{i=1}^{n / 2} \mu_{i}\left(L_{1}-\sigma\left(L_{1}\right)\right)
$$

is in $\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$. The index form $I$ of $K$ satisfies

$$
\sqrt{d_{K}} I=\prod_{\substack{\sigma \in G \\ \sigma \neq \mathrm{id}}} F_{\sigma}
$$

Proof For fixed id $\neq \sigma \in G$ we show that $F_{\sigma}$ is $G$-invariant. Clearly, $\sigma\left(F_{\sigma}\right)=F_{\sigma}$ since each of an even number of factors of $F_{\sigma}$ is turned into its negative. It remains to prove that $\tau\left(F_{\sigma}\right)=F_{\sigma}$ also for all $\tau \in \mathcal{R}$. But this is obvious because of $\mathcal{R}$ being a group.

We note that we obtain $n-1$ polynomials $F_{\sigma}$, each being a homogenous polynomial of degree $n / 2$. Hence, their product is of degree $n(n-1) / 2$ which coincides with the degree of $I$. The lemma will be proved when we show that any factor $L_{i}-L_{j}$ of $I$ is also a factor of an $F_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma$ chosen appropriately. Let $\tau_{i}, \tau_{j} \in G, \tau_{i} \neq \tau_{j}$, subject to $L_{i}=\tau_{i}\left(L_{1}\right), L_{j}=\tau_{j}\left(L_{1}\right)$. We put $\sigma=\tau_{i}^{-1} \tau_{j}$ and get $L_{i}-L_{j}=\tau_{i}\left(L_{1}-\sigma\left(L_{1}\right)\right)$. For $\tau_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$ this is clearly a factor of $F_{\sigma}$. If $\tau_{i}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{R}$, however, then we have $\sigma \tau_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $L_{i}-L_{j}$ becomes a factor of $\sigma\left(F_{\sigma}\right)$ which was shown to coincide with $F_{\sigma}$.

## 3 Indices in $K_{3}$

The Galois group $G$ of $K_{3}$ is generated by $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$. We recall that $\sigma_{i}$ maps $\sqrt{a_{i}}$ onto $-\sqrt{a_{i}}$ and leaves $\sqrt{a_{j}}$ invariant for $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ with $j \neq i$. The 8 automorphisms of $G$ are ordered as follows: $\tau_{1}:=\mathrm{id}, \tau_{2}:=\sigma_{1}, \tau_{3}:=$ $\sigma_{2}, \tau_{4}:=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$ and $\tau_{j}:=\tau_{j-4} \sigma_{3}$ for $5 \leq j \leq 8$. Consequently, we put $K_{3}^{(j)}=\tau_{j}\left(K_{3}\right) \quad(1 \leq j \leq 8)$.

We recall that the index form $I$ of $K_{3}$ was introduced in (4) and (5). It will turn out useful to rewrite the involved linear forms $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{k=1}^{8} x_{k} \omega_{k}^{(j)}=\left(\omega_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, \omega_{8}^{(j)}\right) \mathbf{x}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, \gamma_{8}^{(j)}\right) T \mathbf{x}=: M_{j}(\mathbf{y}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{y}:=T \mathbf{x}$. We remark that the transfer from $\mathbf{x}$ to $\mathbf{y}$ (and vice versa) is easy since $T$ is an upper triangular matrix. But whereas the coordinates of the $\mathbf{x}$ are integers those of $\mathbf{y}$ are rationals with well-known bounded denominators.

In this section we will often choose the generators $a=a_{1}, b=a_{2}, c=a_{3}$ of $K_{3}$ in a different way which is less suitable for presenting integral bases but more appropriate for studying primes (and their powers) dividing the field index of $K_{3}$. The latter was defined to be the greatest common divisor
of the module indices ( $o_{3}: \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ ) for arbitrary $\rho \in o_{3}$ satisfying $K_{3}=\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$. (We recall that $o_{3}$ denotes the maximal order of $K_{3}$.) It is a well known result of Zylińsky [15] (see also [4, 9], for example) that any prime dividing the field index must be smaller than the degree of that field. Hence, for $K_{3}$ we just need to discuss the primes $2,3,5,7$.

## $p$ odd

For a fixed odd prime $p$ we shall consider the index form as a product of differences of $M_{j}(\mathbf{y})$ (see (5) and (6)). In order to transfer the results back to the $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$ the entries of the transformation matrix $T$ of (3) must be coprime to $p$. This can be achieved in the following way. From the beginning of the previous section we know that the generating elements $a, b, c$ can be chosen such that at most one of them is divisible by $p$. That choice obviously guarantees that the numerators and denominators of non-zero entries of $T$ are not divisible by $p$. We emphasize that the determinant of $T$ is not divisible by $p$, i.e. $p$ does not divide the index of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{c}]$ in $o_{K}$.

According to our considerations at the beginning of the previous section we can choose $a, b, c$ such that

1. $a, b, c$ are quadratic residues modulo $p$ (Case (i));
2. $a, b$ are quadratic residues modulo $p$, but $c$ is not (including the case $p \mid c)($ Case (ii));
3. $a$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p, b \not \equiv x^{2} \bmod p$, and $p \mid c($ Case(iii)).

As outlined above this choice guarantees that a fixed odd prime number $p$ neither divides the numerators nor the denominators of the non-zero entries of $T$.

We shortly describe our strategy for the different cases. The index form $I=I(\mathbf{y})$ of $K$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{d_{K}} I\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\prod_{1 \leq \nu<\mu \leq n}\left(M_{\mu}(\mathbf{y})-M_{\nu}(\mathbf{y})\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a, b, c$ all are squares modulo $p$ then each linear form $M_{j}(\mathbf{y})$ can be mapped into $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{8}$ by reducing coefficients modulo $p$ and choosing $y_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(1 \leq j \leq 8)$.

If $c$, say, is a quadratic non-residue modulo $p$ we need to combine suitable factors of the right-hand side of (7) in order to remove all terms of $I$ in which $\sqrt{c}$ occurs before we can carry out reduction modulo $p$. This can be easily achieved by the action of the Galois group. Since we have

$$
\left(M_{1}(\mathbf{y}), \ldots, M_{8}(\mathbf{y})\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}=\left(\tau_{i}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 8}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{8}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}}
$$

the matrix $\Gamma=\left(\tau_{i}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)\right)$ is invertible modulo $p$ if $p$ does not divide its determinant. The latter differs from the square root of the discriminant of $K_{3}$ by a factor $\operatorname{det}(T)$. Hence, if $p^{2}$ does not divide $a b c$ the matrix $T$ is invertible modulo $p$ and for each tuple $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{8}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{8}$ we get unique corresponding values $M_{j}(\mathbf{m})$ and also $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$. Choosing the $\mathbf{m}$ appropriately we can deduce divisibility conditions for $I$ by powers of $p$.

Case (i) $\quad a, b, c$ are quadratic residues modulo $p$.
For $p=7$ we choose $m_{1} \equiv m_{2} \bmod p$ with $m_{1} \not \equiv m_{2} \bmod p^{2}$ and $m_{i} \not \equiv$ $m_{j} \bmod p(2 \leq i<j \leq 8)$, for example. (At least 2 values $m_{i}$ must belong to the same residue class modulo 7.) This immediately implies that the field index is divisible by 7 . Choosing $m_{1} \not \equiv m_{2} \bmod 49$ we see that the field index is exactly divisible by 7 .

Now let $p=5$. Then we distribute the eight values $L_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ into 5 residue classes modulo 5. At first we assume that each residue class contains at most 2 values. Reordering the linear forms if necessary we get

$$
L_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 5, L_{3}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{4}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 5, L_{5}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{6}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 5,
$$

implying that the field index is divisible by $5^{3}$. (We note that we can choose the $L_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ such that they are pairwise incongruent modulo 5 for $i \in\{1,3,5,7,8\}$ and that the differences $L_{i}(\mathbf{x})-L_{i+1}(\mathbf{x})$ are not divisible by 25 for $i \in\{1,3,5\}$.) If there is a residue class containing three values $L_{i}(\mathbf{x})$, however, it is straightforward that the field index is divisible by $5^{3}$, too. As we remarked for $p=7$ already this also shows that the field index is exactly divisible by $5^{3}$.

Finally, we consider $p=3$. If each residue class modulo 3 contains at most three values $L_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ a suitable ordering yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{3}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 3 \\
L_{4}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{5}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{6}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 3 \\
L_{7}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{8}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 3
\end{gathered}
$$

with $L_{1}, L_{4}, L_{7}$ pairwise incongruent modulo 3 . For this choice we clearly obtain the divisibility of the field index by $3^{7}$. We note that we can choose the values such that the differences $L_{i}(\mathbf{x})-L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$ are not divisible by 9 for the indices $1 \leq i<j \leq 3,1 \leq i<j \leq 6$, and $(i, j)=(7,8)$. It is easily seen that this divisibility is also satisfied if there exist residue classes containing 4 or more values. As before, we conclude that the field index is exactly divisible by $3^{7}$.

Case (ii) $\quad a, b$ are quadratic residues modulo $p$.
Subcase (ii)(a) $c$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo $p$

We order the linear factors of $I$ in a suitable way. We have

$$
M_{j}=M_{1 j}+\sqrt{c} M_{2 j} \quad(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

with

$$
M_{1 j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} y_{i} \gamma_{i}^{(j)} \text { and } M_{2 j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} y_{i+4} \gamma_{i}^{(j)}
$$

implying

$$
M_{4+j}=M_{1 j}-\sqrt{c} M_{2 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

We remark that $M_{1 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ depends only on $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{4}$, whereas $M_{2 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ depends only on $y_{5}, \ldots, y_{8}$. We combine suitable factors of the index form $I=I(\mathbf{y})$, namely,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\left(M_{i}-M_{j}\right)\left(M_{i+4}-M_{j+4}\right) & =\left(M_{1 i}-M_{1 j}\right)^{2}-c\left(M_{2 i}-M_{2 j}\right)^{2} & \text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq 4 \\
\left(M_{i}-M_{j+4}\right)\left(M_{i+4}-M_{j}\right) & =\left(M_{1 i}-M_{1 j}\right)^{2}-c\left(M_{2 i}+M_{2 j}\right)^{2} & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \\
& & j+4 \geq 5, i \neq j \\
M_{i}-M_{i+4} & =2 \sqrt{c} M_{2 i} & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq 4 .
\end{array}
$$

For abbreviation we set
$\tilde{M}_{i j}=\left(\left(M_{1 i}-M_{1 j}\right)^{2}-c\left(M_{2 i}-M_{2 j}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(M_{1 i}-M_{1 j}\right)^{2}-c\left(M_{2 i}+M_{2 j}\right)^{2}\right) \quad(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$
and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{d_{K_{3}}} I(\mathbf{y})=2^{4} c^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{4} M_{2 i} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4} \tilde{M}_{i j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The determinants of the coefficient matrices of $M_{11}, \ldots, M_{14}$ and of $M_{21}, \ldots, M_{24}$, respectively, are not divisible by $p$, hence they correspond to invertible endomorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{4}$.

For $p \geq 5$ we choose non-zero $m_{2 j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ which are pairwise incongruent modulo $p$. We also choose $m_{1 j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ which are pairwise incongruent modulo $p$. For this choice there exist $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{8} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ with

$$
M_{1 j} \equiv m_{1 j} \bmod p \text { and } M_{2 j} \equiv m_{2 j} \bmod p(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

Then the product $M_{21} \cdots M_{24}$ is not divisible by $p$. Now we assume that $p$ divides $\tilde{M}_{i j}$ for some indices $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$. This implies

$$
\left(m_{1 i}-m_{1 j}\right)^{2}-c\left(m_{2 i}-\varepsilon m_{2 j}\right)^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod p
$$

for $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$. Since $c$ is a quadratic non-residue in this case the latter would be possible only for $m_{1 i} \equiv m_{1 j} \bmod p$ which we excluded. We conclude that the field index is neither divisible by 5 nor by 7 .

It remains to consider $p=3$. For $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{4}$ with $M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y}) \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ the field index is clearly divisible by 3 . If we choose $\mathbf{y}_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{4}$ for $i=1,2$ subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{11}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}\right),\left(M_{12}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}\right),\left(M_{13}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}\right),\left(M_{14}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}\right)\right)\right.\right.\right. & \equiv(1,1,3,2) \bmod 9 \\
\left(M_{21}\left(\mathbf{y}_{2}\right),\left(M_{22}\left(\mathbf{y}_{2}\right),\left(M_{23}\left(\mathbf{y}_{2}\right),\left(M_{24}\left(\mathbf{y}_{2}\right)\right)\right.\right.\right. & \equiv(3,1,2,2) \bmod 9
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain elements whose index is exactly divisible by 3 . Eventually, if none of the $M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y}) \quad(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ is divisible by 3 their values are congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3 . For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{8}$ there exists a pair $(i, j)$ with $M_{1 i}(\mathbf{y}) \equiv$ $M_{1 j}(\mathbf{y}) \bmod 3$. For these indices we either obtain $M_{2 i} \equiv M_{2 j} \bmod 3$ or $M_{2 i}+M_{2 j} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. In both cases the index $I(\mathbf{y})$ is divisible by 9 (compare (8)). Hence, we have proven that the field index is exactly divisible by 3 .

Subcase (ii)(b) $p$ divides $c$

For prime numbers $p \geq 5$ the arguments of the previous subcase remain valid. We only need to consider $p=3$. For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{8}$ there exists a pair $(i, j)$ with $M_{1 i}(\mathbf{y}) \equiv M_{1 j}(\mathbf{y}) \bmod 3(1 \leq j \leq 4)$. But then $\tilde{M}_{i j}(\mathbf{y})$ is divisible by 9 . If additionally one of the $M_{2 j}$ is divisible by 3 then the field index is divisible by $3^{3}$. If all of the $M_{2 j}$ are not divisible by 3 they belong to only two residue classes modulo 3 and - as in the previous subcase - either $M_{2 i}(\mathbf{y})-M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y})$ or $M_{2 i}(\mathbf{y})+M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y})$ is divisible by 3 for suitably chosen indices $(i, j)$. Hence, the field index is divisible by $3^{3}$ in any case. Finally, choosing $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{8}$ subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{11}(\mathbf{y}),\left(M_{12}(\mathbf{y}),\left(M_{13}(\mathbf{y}),\left(M_{14}(\mathbf{y})\right)\right.\right.\right. & \equiv(1,4,3,2) \bmod 9 \\
\left(M_{21}(\mathbf{y}),\left(M_{22}(\mathbf{y}),\left(M_{23}(\mathbf{y}),\left(M_{24}(\mathbf{y})\right)\right.\right.\right. & \equiv(1,2,2,2) \bmod 9
\end{aligned}
$$

an easy calculation shows that the field index is exactly divisible by the third power of 3 .

Case (iii) $\quad a$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p, b$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo $p$, and $p$ divides $c$.

With the notation of the previous case we further split the $M_{1 j}, M_{2 j}$ into

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{11}=M_{111}+\sqrt{b} M_{211} & M_{21}=M_{121}+\sqrt{b} M_{221} \\
M_{12}=M_{112}+\sqrt{b} M_{212} & M_{22}=M_{122}+\sqrt{b} M_{222} \\
M_{13}=M_{111}-\sqrt{b} M_{211} & M_{23}=M_{121}-\sqrt{b} M_{221} \\
M_{14}=M_{112}-\sqrt{b} M_{212} & M_{24}=M_{122}-\sqrt{b} M_{222}
\end{array}
$$

If we set
$M=4 b M_{211} M_{212}\left(\left(M_{111}-M_{112}\right)^{2}-b\left(M_{211}-M_{212}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(M_{111}-M_{112}\right)^{2}-b\left(M_{211}+M_{212}\right)^{2}\right)$
for abbreviation, then the index form satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\mathbf{y}) \equiv\left(M_{121}^{2}-b M_{221}^{2}\right)\left(M_{122}^{2}-b M_{222}^{2}\right) M^{4} \bmod p \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of $p \geq 3$ we can choose $M_{111} \not \equiv M_{112} \bmod p$ so that the last two factors of $M$ are not divisible by $p$. If we additionally choose $p$ such that it does not divide $M_{211} M_{212} M_{121} M_{112}$ we even obtain

$$
M \not \equiv 0 \bmod p,\left(M_{121}^{2}-b M_{221}^{2}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod p,\left(M_{122}^{2}-b M_{222}^{2}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod p
$$

Putting things together we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Let $p$ be one of the primes 3, 5, 7 and denote by $\alpha(p)$ the $\nu_{p}$-value of the field index of $K_{3}$.

1. If $a, b, c$ are quadratic residues modulo $p$, then

$$
\alpha(p)= \begin{cases}7 & \text { if } p=3 \\ 3 & \text { if } p=5 \\ 1 & \text { if } p=7\end{cases}
$$

2. If $a, b$ are quadratic residues modulo $p, c$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo $p$, then

$$
\alpha(p)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p=3 \\ 0 & \text { if } p=5 \\ 0 & \text { if } p=7\end{cases}
$$

3. If $a, b$ are quadratic residues modulo $p$ and $p \mid c$, then

$$
\alpha(p)= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } p=3 \\ 0 & \text { if } p=5 \\ 0 & \text { if } p=7\end{cases}
$$

4. If $a$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p, b$ is a quadratic-non-residue modulo $p$, and $p \mid c$, then $\alpha(p)=0$.

This finishes the consideration of the odd part of the field index.

## $p$ even

Since 2 is certainly not coprime to the non-zero entries of the transformation matrix $T$ we must now work with the integral bases $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{8}$ introduced in the previous section (see Lemma 2.2 and the subsequent example).

This motivates us to distinguish the following main cases:

1. $b, c$ are quadratic residues modulo 8 and $a \equiv 1,5 \bmod 8($ Case (i));
2. $a \equiv 3 \bmod 4, b \equiv 1,5 \bmod 8, c \equiv 1 \bmod 8($ Case $(i i))$;
3. $a \equiv 2 \bmod 4($ Case(iii) $)$.

Each of them must still be split into subcases below.
We note that 1 is the only quadratic residue modulo $2,4,8$. Hence, it will be helpful if we can map (parts of) the considered linear forms into $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$. The next lemma is useful in this context.

Let $b, c$ be congruent to 1 modulo 8. According to Lemma 2.2 the ring of integers $o_{E}$ of the extension $E=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{c})$ has an integral basis

$$
\omega_{1}=1, \omega_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{b}+b}{2}, \omega_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{c}+c}{2}, \omega_{4}=\frac{1}{k} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}
$$

where $k$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $b$ and $c$.

Lemma 3.2 For b, c being congruent to 1 modulo 8 there exists a surjective $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism $\psi$ from $o_{E}$ to $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$. For $L_{1 j}(\mathbf{x}):=\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i} \omega_{i}^{(j)}$ and arbitrary $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$ there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4} \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$
\psi\left(L_{1 j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)\right)=z_{j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

Proof We consider the system of congruences

$$
u+v \equiv 1 \bmod 8 \text { and } u v \equiv 2 d \bmod 8
$$

for given $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, exactly one of the elements $u, v$ must be even. Without loss of generality we assume that $u \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Then necessarily we must have $\nu_{2}(u)=\nu_{2}(2 d)$. An easy calculation shows that there is a unique solution $(u, v)$ for each $d$. For defining a $\mathbb{Z}^{-}$homomorphism $\psi: o_{E} \mapsto \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$ we just need to prescribe the images of the basis elements. Considering traces and norms of $\omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ we get:
$b \equiv 1 \bmod 8,\left(b^{2}-b\right) / 4 \equiv 2 d_{b} \bmod 8$ and $c \equiv 1 \bmod 8,\left(c^{2}-c\right) / 4 \equiv 2 d_{c} \bmod 8$.
Let the solutions of these two systems of congruences be $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ and $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ with odd integers $b_{2}, c_{2}$. We set

$$
\psi\left(\omega_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\frac{b+\sqrt{b}}{2}\right)=b_{1}, \psi\left(\frac{b-\sqrt{b}}{2}\right)=b_{2}
$$

and

$$
\psi\left(\omega_{3}\right)=\psi\left(\frac{c+\sqrt{c}}{2}\right)=c_{1}, \psi\left(\frac{c-\sqrt{c}}{2}\right)=c_{2} .
$$

The remaining images are straightforward:

$$
\psi(1)=1, \psi\left(\omega_{4}\right) \equiv b_{1} c_{1} / k \bmod 8
$$

We remark that the homomorphism $\psi$ is surjective (according to its definition) and is compatible with the action of the Galois group. The ma$\operatorname{trix} M_{1}:=\left(\psi\left(\omega_{i}^{(j)}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ has a non-zero determinant in $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, for any tuple $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{4}\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z})^{4}$ there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi\left(L_{1 j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)\right)=z_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

Case (i) $\quad b, c$ are quadratic residues modulo 8.
Subcase (i)(a) $\quad a$ is a quadratic residue modulo 8.

Here the linear forms $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})(1 \leq j \leq 8)$ can directly be mapped onto $(\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z})^{8}$ since the discriminant of $K_{3}$ is not divisible by 2 according to Lemma 2.2. We choose $j-1$ as the value of the linear form $L_{j}(\mathbf{x}) \quad(1 \leq$ $j \leq 8)$. Then it is easily seen that the product on the right-hand side of (7) becomes divisible by $2^{16}$. (For example, the differences of $L_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ with the other $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$ for odd $j$ yield a factor of $2^{1+2+1}$.) If we put more than one $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$ into the same residue class the power of 2 in that product obviously increases.

Subcase (i)(b) $a \equiv 5 \bmod 8$.

We take up the ideas of subcase (ii)(a) for odd primes $p$ (see (8)) in order to split the linear forms $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$ of the index form $I$ as follows. According to Lemma 2.2 we put $\eta_{1}=(a+\sqrt{a}) / 2, \eta_{2}=(b+\sqrt{b}) / 2, \eta_{3}=(c+\sqrt{c}) / 2$ and get

$$
\omega_{j}:=\frac{1}{g_{j}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \eta_{i}^{\alpha_{j i}}(i \leq j \leq 8)
$$

where the $\alpha_{j i}$ were defined in (1) and the $g_{j}$ in (2).
Reordering the conjugates appropriately we can write the linear forms

$$
L_{j}=L_{1 j}+\frac{a+\sqrt{a}}{2} L_{2 j} \text { and } L_{4+j}=L_{1 j}+\frac{a-\sqrt{a}}{2} L_{2 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

We note that the $L_{1 j}, L_{2 j}$ are linear forms in $1, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \eta_{2} \eta_{3}$ and their conjugates the coefficients of which are fractions with odd denominators. Also, the $L_{1 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ only depend on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$, whereas the $L_{2 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ only depend on $x_{5}, \ldots, x_{8}$. Combining suitable factors of the index form $I=I(\mathbf{x})$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{d_{K_{3}}} I(\mathbf{x})=a^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{4} L_{2 i} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4}\left(\tilde{L}_{i j 1} \tilde{L}_{i j 2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{L}_{i j 1}=\left(\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{a}{4}\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{L}_{i j 2}=\left(\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{a}{4}\left(L_{2 i}+L_{2 j}\right)^{2}
$$

A simple calculation yields

$$
\tilde{L}_{i j 2}-\tilde{L}_{i j 1}=\frac{a}{4}\left(\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)^{2}-\left(L_{2 i}+L_{2 j)^{2}}\right)^{2}\right)=-a L_{2 i} L_{2 j}
$$

Hence, $\tilde{L}_{i j 1}$ and $\tilde{L}_{i j 2}$ have different parity if and only if $L_{2 i}$ and $L_{2 j}$ are both odd.

Now we substitute $a=8 \alpha+5$ into $\tilde{L}_{i j 1}$ and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}_{i j 1} & =\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)^{2}+\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)-\frac{a-1}{4}\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)^{2}+\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)-(2 \alpha+1)\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that $\tilde{L}_{i j 1}(\mathbf{x})$ is even exactly if

$$
L_{1 i}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{1 j}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 2 \text { and } L_{2 i}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{2 j}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 2
$$

and in this case $\tilde{L}_{i j 1}(\mathbf{x})$ is at least divisible by 4.
Now we apply the map $\psi$ of Lemma 3.2 to the linear forms $L_{1 j}, L_{2 j}$. We can choose $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{8}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{21}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, L_{24}(\mathbf{x})\right) & \equiv(2,1,5,6) \bmod 8 \\
\left(L_{11}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, L_{14}(\mathbf{x})\right) & \equiv(1,2,3,6) \bmod 8
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the product $\prod_{i=1}^{4} L_{2 i}(\mathbf{x})$ is exactly divisible by 4. Also, according to the discussion above $\tilde{L}_{i j 1}$ is odd for $(i, j) \neq(2,3)$. For $(i, j)=(2,3)$ the values $\tilde{L}_{i j 1}$ and $\tilde{L}_{i j 2}$ have different parities. While $\tilde{L}_{231}$ is still odd we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}_{232} \equiv & \left(L_{12}-L_{13}\right)^{2}+\left(L_{12}-L_{13}\right)\left(L_{22}-L_{23}\right) \\
& -(2 \alpha+1)\left(L_{22}-L_{23}\right)^{2}-(8 \alpha+5) L_{22} L_{33} \bmod 8 \\
\equiv & 1+4-(2 \alpha+1) 4^{2}-5^{2} \bmod 8 \\
\equiv & -20 \bmod 8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\tilde{L}_{232}$ is exactly divisible by 4 , and $I(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible exactly by $2^{4}$.
It remains to show that $I(\mathbf{x})$ is at least divisible by $2^{4}$ in all other cases. If all $L_{2 i}$-values are odd then there are at least two pairs $(i, j)$ for which $L_{1 i}$ and $L_{1 j}$ have the same parity. This implies $16 \mid I(\mathbf{x})$. If exactly one
value $L_{2 i}$ is even we can assume that this is $L_{21}$. Among the pairs $(i, j)$ for $2 \leq i<j \leq 4$ there is at least one for which $L_{1 i}$ and $L_{1 j}$ have the same parity. (If two such pairs exist we necessarily have $2^{5} \mid I(\mathbf{x})$.) Again, we can assume that $L_{12}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{13}(\mathbf{x}) \bmod 2$. Then $\tilde{L}_{231}(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible at least by 4 . Now, $L_{22}, L_{24}, \tilde{L}_{241}$ are all odd and the parity of $\tilde{L}_{241}$ is different from that of $\tilde{L}_{242}$. Since $\tilde{L}_{242}$ is even we obtain that $2^{4}$ divides $I(\mathbf{x})$. If more than 2 values $L_{2 i}$ are even it is obvious that $2^{4}$ divides $I(\mathbf{x})$.

Case (ii) $\quad a$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo 4.

We observe that the elements $\omega_{2}, \omega_{4}, \omega_{6}, \omega_{8}$ of the integral basis are of the form $\omega_{2 j}=\sqrt{a} \tilde{\omega}_{2 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$, where the $\tilde{\omega}_{2 j}$ are not necessarily integral. Any occurring denominators are odd, however. We reorder the $L_{j}$ into $L_{1}, L_{3}, L_{5}, L_{7}, L_{2}, L_{4}, L_{6}, L_{8}$. Thus we get

$$
L_{j}(\mathbf{x})=L_{1 j}+\sqrt{a} L_{2 j} \quad(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

with

$$
L_{1 j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i} \omega_{i}^{(j)} \text { and } L_{2 j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i+4} \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{(j)}
$$

implying

$$
L_{4+j}=L_{1 j}-\sqrt{a} L_{2 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4) .
$$

We remark that $L_{1 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ depends only on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$, whereas $L_{2 j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ depends only on $x_{5}, \ldots, x_{8}$. We combine suitable factors of the index form $I=I(\mathbf{x})$. For abbreviation we set
$\tilde{L}_{i j}=\left(\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)^{2}-a\left(L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}\right)^{2}-a\left(L_{2 i}+L_{2 j}\right)^{2}\right) \quad(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$
and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{d_{K_{3}}} I(\mathbf{x})=2^{4} a^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{4} L_{2 i} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4} \tilde{L}_{i j} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase (ii)(a) $\quad b, c$ are quadratic residues modulo 8 .

The determinants of the coefficient matrices of $L_{11}, \ldots, L_{14}$ and of $L_{21}, \ldots, L_{24}$, respectively, are not divisible by 2 , hence these matrices correspond to invertible endomorphisms from $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ onto $(\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z})^{4}$ (compare Lemma 3.2).

If we choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{21}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, L_{24}(\mathbf{x})\right) \equiv(2,1,3,6) \bmod 8, \\
& \left(L_{11}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, L_{14}(\mathbf{x})\right) \equiv(1,2,3,6) \bmod 8
\end{aligned}
$$

the products on the right-hand side of (11) contain a factor of $2^{10}$. We note that even values of $\tilde{L}_{i j}$ are necessarily divisible by $2^{4}$. Hence, for any other choice of the $L_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) \quad(i=1,2,1 \leq j \leq 4)$ the products on the right-hand side of (11) are at least divisible by $2^{10}$.

We conclude that the field index is exactly divisible by $2^{6}$. This is because we have an additional factor of $2^{4}$ in the square root of the discriminant of $K_{3}$.

Subcase (ii)(b) $c$ is a quadratic residue modulo 8 and $b \equiv 5 \bmod 8$.
In this case the terms of $\tilde{L}_{i j}$ can be considered as elements in $F:=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b})$, more precisely we study them in $o_{F} / 2 o_{F}$, where $o_{F}$ denotes the maximal order of $F$. Since $b$ is congruent to 5 modulo 8 the prime 2 stays inert in $F$. The factor ring (finite field) $o_{F} / 2 o_{F}$ consists of 4 residue classes represented by $2,1, \zeta:=(1+\sqrt{b}) / 2, \zeta^{2}$. If we distribute the values $L_{1 j}(\mathbf{x})$ into all 4 residue classes in the given order then only the difference $L_{13}-L_{14}$ is divisible by 2 . If we also choose all $L_{2 j}$ odd so that their differences are at least divisible by 2 then we find that exactly one $\tilde{L}_{i j}$ becomes divisible by exactly 4 and that the others stay odd. Hence, the products on the right-hand side of (11) are exactly divisible by $2^{2}$. All other choices of the $L_{i j}$-values lead at least to that divisibility condition.

Case (iii) $a$ is exactly divisible by 2 .
Again, the index form can be written as in (11).
Subcase (iii)(a) $\quad b, c$ are quadratic residues modulo 8.
If we choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{21}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, L_{24}(\mathbf{x})\right) \equiv(1,5,2,6) \bmod 8, \\
& \left(L_{11}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, L_{14}(\mathbf{x})\right) \equiv(1,2,3,4) \bmod 8
\end{aligned}
$$

the products on the right-hand side of (11) are exactly divisible by $2^{10}$. Any other choice of the $L_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) \quad(i=1,2,1 \leq j \leq 4)$ yields a divisibility by at least $2^{10}$. Since an additional factor $2^{4}$ is contained in the square root of the discriminant in this case, the even part of the field index is $2^{6}$.

Subcase (iii)(b) $c$ is a quadratic residue modulo 8 and $b \equiv 5 \bmod 8$.

We take up our considerations of subcase (ii)(b). There are only two new aspects: the square root of the discriminant of $K_{3}$ gets an additional factor $2^{2}$ and the generating element $a$ is now exactly divisible by 2 . Then the same analysis as in the previous case yields that the field index is always divisible by $2^{2}$, and there are elements in $K_{3}$ for which this index is not divisible by $2^{3}$, for example $\omega_{4}+\omega_{7}$.

Subcase (iii)(c) $\quad c$ is a quadratic residue modulo $4, b$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo 4.

As we know from the introduction the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{24}\right)$ has a power integral basis. Hence, we need to show that the field index is odd and therefore 1.

We conclude similar as in subcases (ii)(b) and (iii)(b). But we need to point out that the second and fourth summands of $L_{2 j}$ are not necessarily algebraic integers anymore, only their product with $\sqrt{a}$.

We show that the element $\eta:=\omega_{4}+\omega_{5}$ (notation as in the example in the previous section) has an index which is not divisible by 2 . We have $L_{11}=(1+\sqrt{c}) / 2$ and $L_{21}=(\sqrt{b} / g+1) / 2$. Accordingly, the differences $L_{1 i j}:=L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}$ become 0 for $(i, j)=(1,2),(3,4)$ and $\sqrt{c}$ in the remaining 4 cases. We also calculate $L_{2 i j}:=L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}$. The values are 0 for $(i, j)=$ $(1,3),(2,4)$ and $\sqrt{b} / g$ otherwise. From this we obtain for the factors on the right-hand side of (11):

$$
2^{4} a^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{4} L_{2 i}=2^{4} a^{2}\left(1-\frac{b}{g^{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{16}
$$

which is exactly divisible by $2^{4}$ and

$$
\tilde{L}_{i j}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { odd for }(i, j) \in\{(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4)\} \\
\text { exactly divisible by } 4 \text { for }(i, j) \in\{(1,2),(3,4)\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the square root of the discriminant of $K_{3}$ is exactly divisible by $2^{8}$ the index of $\eta$ is odd.

Putting these results together we proved:

## Theorem 3.3 The 2-part of the field index of $K_{3}$ is

1. $2^{16}$ for $(a, b, c) \equiv(1,1,1) \bmod 8$;
2. $2^{4}$ for $a \equiv 5 \bmod 8,(b, c) \equiv(1,1) \bmod 8$;
3. $2^{6}$ for $a \equiv 3 \bmod 4,(b, c) \equiv(1,1) \bmod 8$;
4. $2^{2}$ for $a \equiv 3 \bmod 4,(b, c) \equiv(5,1) \bmod 8$;
5. $2^{6}$ for $a \equiv 2 \bmod 4,(b, c) \equiv(1,1) \bmod 8$;
6. $2^{2}$ for $a \equiv 2 \bmod 4,(b, c) \equiv(5,1) \bmod 8$;
7. $2^{0}$ for $a \equiv 2 \bmod 4,(b, c) \equiv(3,1) \bmod 4$.

Remark We note that our results include those of [10] without any restrictions for the generating elements $a, b, c$.

## 4 Field indices in $K_{r}$ for higher $r$

Let $r$ be a positive integer and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ be integers such that the field $K:=K_{r}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{a_{r}}\right)$ has degree $2^{r}$. Let $o_{K}$ denote the maximal order of $K$ as before. The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 Let $p$ be a prime number and $n$ be a positive integer. Then there exists $N_{0}=N_{0}(p, n)$ such that $N \geq N_{0}$ implies that the field index of $K_{N}$ is divisible $p^{n}$.

Proof

$$
p \text { odd }
$$

Let $p$ be an odd prime. By $(\dot{\bar{p}})$ we denote the Legendre symbol. As we showed in Section 3, Subsection p odd we need to discuss three cases for $K_{r}$ :

1. $\left(\frac{a_{i}}{p}\right)=1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$;
2. $\left(\frac{a_{1}}{p}\right)=-1$ or $p$ divides $a_{1}$ and $\left(\frac{a_{i}}{p}\right)=1$ for all $i=2, \ldots, r$;
3. $a_{1}$ is divisible by $p,\left(\frac{a_{2}}{p}\right)=-1$ and $\left(\frac{a_{i}}{p}\right)=1$ for all $i=3, \ldots, r$.

In case $\left(\frac{a_{i}}{p}\right)=1 \quad(1 \leq i \leq r)$ we fix $b_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ such that $b_{i}^{2}=a_{i}$. Then we define $\psi\left(\varepsilon \sqrt{a_{i}}\right)=\varepsilon b_{i}$ for all allowed indices, where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. One can extend $\psi$ in a straightforward way to a homomorphism $\psi: o_{K} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_{p}$. See the discussion about the correspondence between the linear forms $L_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ and $M_{j}(\mathbf{y})$ in Section 3.
Case 1. We choose $r$ so large that $2^{r}>p^{n+1}$. Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2^{r}}$. Because of $\psi\left(M_{j}(\mathbf{y})\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, 2^{r}$ there exists an element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, which appears as the value of at least $2^{r} / p>p^{n}$ linear forms. Let $J=$ $\left\{j \mid \psi\left(M_{j}(\mathbf{y})\right)=u\right\}$. Then the differences $M_{j_{1}}(\mathbf{y})-M_{j_{2}}(\mathbf{y})$ are divisible by $p$ for all $j_{1}, j_{2} \in J, j_{1}<j_{2}$. The number of such pairs of indices is $|J|(|J|-1) / 2>|J|>p^{n}$. Thus $p^{n}$ divides $I_{K_{r}}(M(\mathbf{y}))$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2^{r}}$, i.e. $p^{n}$ divides the index of all integral elements of $K_{r}$.

Case 2. Now we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{j}(\mathbf{y}) & =M_{1 j}(\mathbf{y})+\sqrt{a_{1}} M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y})\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-1}\right) \\
M_{j+2^{r-1}}(\mathbf{y}) & =M_{1 j}(\mathbf{y})-\sqrt{a_{1}} M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y})\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}_{i j}=\left(\left(M_{1 i}-M_{1 j}\right)^{2}-a_{1}\left(M_{2 i}-M_{2 j}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\left(M_{1 i}-M_{1 j}\right)^{2}-a_{1}\left(M_{2 i}+M_{2 j}\right)^{2}\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq i<j \leq 2^{r-1}$. Then we get as before

$$
\sqrt{D_{K_{r}}} I_{K_{r}}(\mathbf{y})=\left(2 a_{1}\right)^{2^{r-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{r-1}} M_{2 j} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2^{r-1}} \tilde{M}_{i j}
$$

We choose $r$ so large that $2^{r-1}>p^{n+2}$. Because of $\psi\left(M_{1 j}(\mathbf{y})\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-1}$ there exists an element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ which appears as the
value of at least $2^{r-1} / p$ linear forms. Let $J_{1}=\left\{j \mid \psi\left(M_{1 j}(\mathbf{y})\right)=u\right\}$. As $\psi\left(M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y})\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ for all $j \in J_{1}$ (actually for all $\left.j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-1}\right)$ there exists an element $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, which appears as the value of at least $\left|J_{1}\right| / p \geq 2^{r-1} / p^{2}$ linear forms. Let $J_{2}=\left\{j \mid \psi\left(M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y})\right)=v\right\}$. Then we have $\psi\left(M_{1 i}-M_{1 j}\right)=$ $\psi\left(M_{2 i}-M_{2 j}\right)=0$ for all $i, j \in J_{2}, i<j$. This means that the exponent of $p$ in $I_{K_{r}}(\mathbf{y})$ is at least

$$
2\left|J_{2}\right|\left(\left|J_{2}\right|-1\right) / 2>2^{r-1} / p^{2}>p^{n}
$$

Case 3. Now we have to split the linear forms $M_{1 j}, M_{2 j}$ further. We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1 j}(\mathbf{y}) & =M_{11 j}(\mathbf{y})+\sqrt{a_{2}} M_{12 j}(\mathbf{y})\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-2}\right), \\
M_{2 j}(\mathbf{y}) & =M_{21 j}(\mathbf{y})+\sqrt{a_{2}} M_{22 j}(\mathbf{y})\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-2}\right), \\
M_{1, j+2^{r-2}}(\mathbf{y}) & =M_{11 j}(\mathbf{y})-\sqrt{a_{2}} M_{12 j}(\mathbf{y})\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-2}\right), \\
M_{2, j+2^{r-2}}(\mathbf{y}) & =M_{21 j}(\mathbf{y})-\sqrt{a_{2}} M_{22 j}(\mathbf{y})\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_{i j} \tilde{M}_{i, j+2^{r-2}}= & \left(\left(\left(M_{11 i}-M_{11 j}\right)-\sqrt{a_{2}}\left(M_{21 i}-M_{21 j}\right)\right)^{4}-a_{1} A_{1}\right) \\
& \times\left(\left(\left(M_{11 i}-M_{11 j}\right)+\sqrt{a_{2}}\left(M_{21 i}-M_{21 j}\right)\right)^{4}-a_{1} A_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(\left(M_{11 i}-M_{11 j}\right)^{2}-a_{2}\left(M_{21 i}-M_{21 j}\right)^{2}\right)^{4}-a_{1} A_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with integers $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ of $K_{r}$.
Now we repeat the argument of the previous cases. Firstly, there is a $J_{1} \subseteq$ $\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{r-2}\right\}$ such that $\left|J_{1}\right| \geq 2^{r-2} / p$ and the values $\psi\left(M_{11 i}\right)(\mathbf{y})$ are the same for all $i \in J_{1}$. Then there exists $J_{2} \subseteq J_{1}$ such that $\left|J_{2}\right| \geq\left|J_{1}\right| / p$ and the values $\psi\left(M_{21 i}\right)(\mathbf{y})$ are the same for all $i \in J_{2}$. Consequently, $\tilde{M}_{i j} \tilde{M}_{i, j+2^{r-2}}$ is divisible by $p$. Thus the exponent of $p$ in $I_{K_{r}}(\mathbf{y})$ is at least

$$
2\left|J_{2}\right|\left(\left|J_{2}\right|-1\right) / 2>2^{r-2} / p^{2}>p^{n}
$$

Hence, for $2^{r-2}>p^{n+2}$ the field index is divisible by $p^{n}$.

## $p$ even

The case $p=2$ is dealt with similarly. The generalization from $r=3$ to higher exponents $r$ follows an analogous pattern like for odd $p$. Since the
number of subcases to be considered is 7 (as for $\mathrm{r}=3$ ) the proof requires arguments as in the previous section. We therefore omit the details.

However, we still give an explicit proof in the most interesting case $a_{1} \equiv$ $2 \bmod 4, a_{2} \equiv 3 \bmod 4, a_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod 4(i=3, \ldots, r)$ and $p=2$. We consider it most interesting since the result below also shows that the field index is even for $r>3$. For the proof we will introduce some new ideas especially suited for this case. In the following we shall use the linear forms $L_{i}$ from (4) and the integral basis $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{2^{r}}$ of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 4.2 Let $r \geq 4$. For $a_{1} \equiv 2 \bmod 4, a_{2} \equiv 3 \bmod 4, a_{3} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $a_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod 8(4 \leq i \leq r)$ the field index of $K_{r}$ is divisible by $2^{r-2}$.

We remark that the lemma implies that the field index of $K_{r}$ is even for $r>3$ and tends to infinity for large values of $r$.

Proof We note that by Lemma 2.2 the $\nu_{2}$-value of the square root of the discriminant is $2^{r}$ in this case. Similar to our considerations at the beginning of Section 2 we can further normalize the generators when we consider $p=2$. It is easy to see that we can additionally choose $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ subject to $a_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ for $r \geq 4$.

We shall show the result by induction on $r$.
Although the result of the lemma is not true for $r=3$ we need that case for our induction hypothesis. We recall part of the results from the previous section, but need a more precise premise for the induction step. We make use of the basis given in Lemma 2.2, however in a different ordering:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{1}=1, & \omega_{2}=\sqrt{a_{2}}-a_{2}, \\
\omega_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{a_{3}}-a_{3}}{2}, & \omega_{4}=\frac{1}{2 g_{4}}\left(\sqrt{a_{2}}-a_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{a_{3}}-a_{3}\right), \\
\omega_{5}=\sqrt{a_{1}}-a_{1}, & \omega_{6}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}-a_{1}\right)\left(\sqrt{a_{2}}-a_{2}\right), \\
\omega_{7}=\frac{1}{2 g_{7}}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}-a_{1}\right)\left(\sqrt{a_{3}}-a_{3}\right), & \omega_{8}=\frac{1}{4 g_{8}} \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(\sqrt{a_{i}}-a_{i}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

We note that the elements $g_{i}$ are odd natural numbers. The conjugates are chosen in the order

$$
\mathrm{id}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}
$$

We decompose the linear forms $L_{j}(\mathbf{x})$ of (4) into

$$
L_{j}(\mathbf{x})=L_{1 j}+L_{2 j} \quad(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

with

$$
L_{1 j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i} \omega_{i}^{(j)} \text { and } L_{2 j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{4+i} \omega_{4+i}^{(j)}
$$

We note that $L_{2 j}=\tilde{L}_{2 j}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}-a_{1}\right) / 2$ implying

$$
L_{4+j}=L_{1 j}+\frac{-\sqrt{a_{1}}-a_{1}}{2} \tilde{L}_{2 j} \quad(1 \leq j \leq 4)
$$

A straightforward calculation shows that for $(i, j)=(1,2),(3,4)$ the differences $L_{1 i}-L_{1 j}$ are multiples of 2 , those of $L_{2 i}-L_{2 j}$ are multiples of $\sqrt{2}$ by algebraic integers. That property remains valid for all pairs $(i, j)$ contained in the following set:

$$
J_{3}:=\{(1,2),(1,6),(5,2),(5,6),(3,4),(3,8),(7,4),(7,8)\}
$$

Also, we put

$$
\hat{J}_{3}:=\{(i, 4+i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\}
$$

and observe that the values $L_{j}-L_{4+j}$ for $(j, 4+j) \in \hat{J}_{3}$ are multiples of 2 by algebraic integers. Hence, the index form multiplied by the square root of the discriminant of $K_{3}$ is divisible at least by $2^{4+4}=2^{8}$.

Now we carry out the induction step. We put $\kappa:=2^{r-2}$ and we assume that on level $r-1$ we have an integral basis $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{2 \kappa}$. These basis elements are ordered such that the index form decomposes into two linear forms, say

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}^{(r-1)}=L_{1 j}^{(r-1)}+L_{2 j}^{(r-1)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1 i}^{(r-1)}-L_{1 j}^{(r-1)} \in 2 \overline{\mathbb{Z}}, L_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-L_{2 j}^{(r-1)} \in \sqrt{2} \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each of the $2^{r-1}$ pairs of indices $(i, j) \in J_{r-1}$. According to Lemma 2.2 there exists an integral basis on level $r$ in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{2 \kappa} \\
& \omega_{2 \kappa+\mu}=\frac{\sqrt{a_{r}}-a_{r}}{2} \frac{1}{g_{\kappa, \mu}} \omega_{\mu} \quad(1 \leq \mu \leq 2 \kappa)
\end{aligned}
$$

with odd integers $g_{\kappa, \mu}$. (We remark that we consider $r \geq 4$ which implies $a_{r} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$.)

On level $r$ the index form $L_{j}^{(r)}$ decomposes into

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}^{(r)}=L_{j}^{(r-1)}+\frac{\sqrt{a_{r}}-a_{r}}{2} \tilde{L}_{j}^{(r-1)}\left(1 \leq j \leq 2^{r}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients of the basis elements in $L_{j}^{(r-1)}$ and in $\tilde{L}_{j}^{(r-1)}$ just differ by the rational factors $1 / g_{\kappa, \mu}$. The conjugates are ordered such that the first $2 \kappa$ correspond to $\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r-1}\right\rangle=G_{r-1}$ and the last $2 \kappa$ correspond to $\sigma_{r} G_{r-1}$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2 \kappa+j}^{(r)}=L_{j}^{(r-1)}+\frac{-\sqrt{a_{r}}-a_{r}}{2} \tilde{L}_{j}^{(r-1)}\left(1 \leq j \leq 2^{r-1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we let $(i, j) \in J_{r-1}$, i.e. $L_{i}^{(r-1)}-L_{j}^{(r-1)} \in \sqrt{2} \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then also $L_{i}^{(r)}-L_{j}^{(r)}$ and $L_{2 \kappa+i}^{(r)}-L_{2 \kappa+j}^{(r)}$ have this property. Therefore we get $2^{r}$ pairs of indices for which the corresponding differences of linear forms are divisible by $\sqrt{2}$.

By induction hypothesis, on level $r-1$ we have the $2^{r-2}$ differences $L_{i}^{(r-1)}$ $L_{j}^{(r-1)}\left((i, j) \in \hat{J}_{r-1}\right)$ which are multiples of 2 . On level $r$ we therefore obtain twice as many such differences, namely $L_{i}^{(r)}-L_{j}^{(r)}$ and $L_{2 \kappa+i}^{(r)}-L_{2 \kappa+j}^{(r)}$.
It is now straight-forward how to update the information from level $r-1$ to level $r$. We explicitly constructed $J_{r}$ from $J_{r-1}$ containing twice as many, i.e. $2^{r}$, pairs $(i, j)$ for which (13) and (14) are satisfied on level $r$. Each of the corresponding differences of the linear forms is divisible by $\sqrt{2}$. Also from the pairs $(i, j) \in \hat{J}_{r-1}$ on level $r-1$ we obtained twice as many, i.e. $2^{r-1}$, pairs $(i, j)$ and $(2 \kappa+i, 2 \kappa+j)$ forming $\hat{J}_{r}$ for which the corresponding differences of the linear forms are divisible by 2 . We still remark that $J_{r-1} \cap \hat{J}_{r-1}=\emptyset$ implies $J_{r} \cap \hat{J}_{r}=\emptyset$.

Hence, the $\nu_{2}$-value of the product of all these differences is $2^{r-1}+2^{r-1}=2^{r}$ which equals the $\nu_{2}$-value of the square root of the discriminant of $K_{r}$. To prove the lemma we still need to exhibit additional factors of 2 in the product of differences of linear forms. They come from the fact that $a_{r}$ is congruent to 1 modulo 8 , i.e. the norm of $\left(\sqrt{a_{r}}-a_{r}\right) / 2$ is even.

We consider the products $P_{i j}:=\left(L_{i}^{(r)}-L_{j}^{(r)}\right)\left(L_{2 \kappa+i}^{(r)}-L_{2 \kappa+j}^{(r)}\right)$ for $(i, j) \in J_{r-1}$. We already know that $P_{i j}$ is an integral multiple of 2 . We shall prove that it is even an integral multiple of $2 \sqrt{2}$. Obviously, we have $2^{r-1}$ factors $P_{i j}$.

By (13), (14), (15), and (16) we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i j}= & 2 \sqrt{2} \lambda_{1}+\left(L_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-L_{2 j}^{(r-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{-\sqrt{a_{r}}-a_{r}}{2}\left(L_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-L_{2 j}^{(r-1)}\right)\left(\tilde{L}_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-\tilde{L}_{2 j}^{(r-1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{a_{r}-a_{r}}}{2}\left(L_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-L_{2 j}^{(r-1)}\right)\left(\tilde{L}_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-\tilde{L}_{2 j}^{(r-1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{a_{r}^{2}-a_{r}}{2}\left(\tilde{L}_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-\tilde{L}_{2 j}^{(r-1)}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i j}=2 \sqrt{2} \lambda_{2}+\left(L_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-L_{2 j}^{(r-1)}\right)\left(L_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-L_{2 j}^{(r-1)}-a_{r}\left(\tilde{L}_{2 i}^{(r-1)}-\tilde{L}_{2 i}^{(r-1)}\right)\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with algebraic integers $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$. Since $a_{r}$ is odd and the coefficients of the basis elements in $L, \tilde{L}$ differ only by odd fractions the last factor in the product on the right-hand side of (17) is divisible by 2 . Therefore $P_{i j}$ is an integral multiple of $2 \sqrt{2}$. Since we have $2^{r-1}$ such pairs $(i, j),(2 \kappa+i, 2 \kappa+j)$ in $J_{r}$ the $\nu_{2}-$ value of those products is $2^{r-1}+2^{r-2}$. Together with the contribution of the $2^{r-1}$ factors $L_{i}^{(r)}-L_{j}^{(r)}\left((i, j) \in \hat{J}_{r}\right)$ this proves the lemma.
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