# On norm form equations with solutions forming arithmetic progressions

## Attila Pethő (University of Debrecen, Hungary) based on joint works with Attila Bérczes

Luminy, 19 May, 2005

Let  $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$  be linearly independent algebraic numbers over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and put  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ . Let  $n := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . For any  $\alpha \in K$ , denote by  $\alpha^{(i)}$  the conjugates of  $\alpha$ . Put

$$l^{(i)}(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + \alpha_2^{(i)} X_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n^{(i)} X_n$$

for i = 1, ..., n. There exists a non-zero  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the form

$$F(\mathbf{X}) := a_0 N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1 X_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m X_m) = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n l^{(i)}(\mathbf{X})$$

has integer coefficients. Such a form is called a norm form.

The equation

$$a_0 N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1 x_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m x_m) = b \tag{1}$$

in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  is called a **norm form equation**.

If the  $\mathbb{Q}$  vector space spanned by  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  has a subspace, which is proportional to a full  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of an algebraic number field, different from  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the imaginary quadratic field, then  $\alpha_1\mathbb{Z} + \ldots + \alpha_m\mathbb{Z}$  is called degenerate.

In that case it is easy to see, that (2) can have infinitely many solutions.

For non-degenerate norm form equations **W.M. Schmidt** (1971) proved that the number of their solutions is finite. This result is ineffective.

For a large class of norm form equations **K. Győry and Z.Z. Papp** (1978): finiteness + explicit upper

#### Motivation

Buchmann and Pethő found twenty years ago, as a byproduct of a search for independent units that in the field  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha^7 = 3$ , the integer

$$10 + 9\alpha + 8\alpha^2 + 7\alpha^3 + 6\alpha^4 + 5\alpha^5 + 4\alpha^6$$

is a unit. This means that the diophantine equation

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_0 + x_1\alpha + \dots + x_6\alpha^6) = 1$$
 (2)

has a solution  $(x_0, \ldots, x_6) \in \mathbb{Z}^7$  such that the coordinates form an arithmetic progression. **Our goals:** Generalize (2) in three directions, and investigate those solutions which form an arithmetic progression:

- we consider arbitrary number fields
- the integer on the right hand side of equation (2) is not restricted to 1
- it is allowed that the solutions form only nearly an arithmetic progression.

#### Results

Let  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  be an algebraic number field of degree n and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  an integer. Consider the equation

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_0 + x_1\alpha + x_2\alpha^2 + \ldots + x_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}) = m.$$
 (3)

Let  $X = \max\{|x_0|, \ldots, |x_{n-1}|\}$ . We say that the sequence  $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$  forms nearly an arithmetic progression if there exists  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 < \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|(x_i - x_{i-1}) - d| \le X^{1-\delta}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$
 (4)

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer of degree  $n \ge 3$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and put  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Suppose that

$$\beta := \frac{n\alpha^n}{\alpha^n - 1} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}$$

is an algebraic number of degree at least 3, over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then there exists an effectively computable constant  $c_1 > 0$  depending only on n, m and the regulator of K such that for any  $0 \le \delta < c_1$  and any solution of equation (3) with the property (4) we have

$$|x_i| < B$$
 for  $i = 0, ..., n - 1$ ,

where B is again an effectively computable constant depending only on  $n, m, \delta$ , the regulator of K, and on the height of  $\alpha$ . In the special case when  $\delta = 1$  we proved a nearly complete finiteness result.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer of degree  $n \geq 3$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and put  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Equation (3) has only finitely many solutions in  $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  are consecutive terms of an arithmetic progression, provided that non of the following two cases hold

(i)  $\alpha$  has minimal polynomial of the form

$$x^n - bx^{n-1} - \ldots - bx + (bn + b - 1)$$

with  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

(ii) 
$$\beta := \frac{n\alpha^n}{\alpha^n - 1} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}$$
 is a real quadratic number.

**Remark.** Case (i) appears quite often. Indeed, elementary computation shows that the polynomial  $x^n - bx^{n-1} - \ldots - bx + (bn+b-1)$  is irreducible for n = 2 if  $b \notin \{-3, 0, 12, 15\}$  and is irreducible for n = 3 if  $b \notin \{-14, 0\}$ .

In contrast we found only one quartic integral  $\alpha$  with defining polynomial  $x^4 + 2x^3 + 5x^2 + 4x + 2$  such that the corresponding  $\beta$  is a real quadratic number. It is a root of  $x^2 - 4x + 2$ . Allowing however  $\alpha$  not to be integral we can obtain a lot of examples. **Does there exist infinitely many exceptions?**  **Theorem 3.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $(n \ge 3)$  there exists an algebraic integer  $\alpha$  of degree n over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that the equation

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_0 + x_1\alpha + x_2\alpha^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}) = \pm 1, \qquad (5)$$

where  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , has a solution  $(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  having coordinates which are consecutive terms in an arithmetic progression.

More precisely, the following statements are true:

(i) If  $\alpha^n = 2, n \ge 3$ , then for odd  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the *n*-tuples (2n - 1, 2n - 2, ..., n), (-2n + 1, -2n + 2, ..., -n), (-1, -1, ..., -1) and (1, 1, ..., 1); for even  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the *n*-tuples (2n - 1, 2n - 2, ..., n), (-2n + 1, -2n + 2, ..., -n), (-1, -1, ..., -1), (1, 1, ..., 1), (-4n + 1, -4n + 3, ..., -2n + 1) and (4n - 1, 4n - 3, ..., 2n - 1)are the only solutions of equation (5) which form an arithmetic progression.

(ii) If  $\alpha^n = 3, n \ge 3$ , then for each odd  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the *n*-tuples  $(\frac{-3n+1}{2}, \frac{-3n+3}{2}, \dots, \frac{-n-1}{2})$ ,  $(\frac{3n-1}{2}, \frac{3n-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2})$  are the only solutions of equation (5) which form an arithmetic progression, and for even  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are no such solutions at all.

#### On the proof of Theorem 1

Put  $c_i := (x_i - x_{i-1}) - d$ . Then equation (3) can be written in the form

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha^n-1}{\alpha-1}\right)x_0+\left(\frac{n\alpha^{n+1}-n\alpha^n-\alpha^{n+1}+\alpha}{(\alpha-1)^2}\right)d+\mu\right)=m,$$

where  $\mu = c_1 \alpha + c_2 \alpha^2 + \ldots + c_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}$ . It can be transformed to

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\alpha^n-1}{\alpha-1}\right)N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_0+\beta d+\lambda)=m,$$

where  $\beta := \frac{n\alpha^n}{\alpha^n - 1} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}$  and  $\lambda := \mu \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha^n - 1}$ .

**Lemma 1.** (Sprindžuk, 1974) Let K be an algebraic number field of degree  $n \ge 3$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  be of degree at least three. Consider the equation

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x+\beta' y+\lambda')=m \tag{6}$$

in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  with  $|\overline{\lambda'}| < \max\{|x|, |y|\}^{1-\delta}, 0 < \delta < 1$ . Then there exist effectively computable constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  depending only on n and the regulator of K such that for the solutions of equation (6) with  $0 < \delta < c_1$  we have

$$\max\{|x|, |y|\} < B_0^{c_2 1/\delta \log(1/\delta)},$$

where the effectively computable constant  $B_0$  depends only on n, m and on the height of  $\beta'$ .

**Note.** This result is proved originally with the assumption  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta')$ , but analyzing the proof it is clear that it works in our case, too.

### On the proof of Theorem 3

If the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  is  $x^n - a$ , then equation (5) can be transformed to the form

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^2}\right) \cdot N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(x_0(a-1)(\alpha-1) + d(an(\alpha-1) - (a-1)\alpha)\right) = \pm which can be rewritten as (-x_0(a-1) - dan)^n + (-1)^{n+1}a (x_0(a-1) + dan - d(a-1))^n = \pm (a-1)^2 Put X := -x_0(a-1) - dan and Y := -x_0(a-1) - dan + d(a-1). So we get the equation$$

$$X^n - aY^n = \pm (a - 1)^2.$$

The following two lemmas complete the proof of Theorem 3.

**Lemma 2.** (Bennett; 2001) If  $n \ge 3$  is an odd integer, then the pairs (1,0), (-1,0), (1,1) and (-1,-1), and if  $n \ge 3$  is an even integer then the pairs (1,0), (-1,0), (1,1), (-1,-1), (-1,1) and (1,-1) are the only solutions of the equation

$$X^n - 2Y^n = \pm 1 \qquad X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Lemma 3.** (Bennett, Vatsal, Yazdani; 2004) The pairs (-1, 1) and (1, -1) are the only solutions of the equation

$$X^n - 3Y^n = \pm 4 \qquad X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where  $n \ge 3$  is an odd integer. For even integers  $n \ge 3$  the above equation has no solutions.

#### Computational experiences

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\alpha$  be a root of the irreducible polynomial  $x^n - a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , and put  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . The equation

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_0 + x_1\alpha + x_2\alpha^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}) = 1$$
 (7)

has no solutions in integers  $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  which are consecutive elements of an arithmetic progression, if  $4 \le a \le 100$  with the possible exception a = 93 and  $n = 2^u 31^v, u = 0, 1, v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . To prove this result, similarly to the proof of Theorem 3, we transform our equation (7) to

$$X^{n} - aY^{n} = (a - 1)^{2}$$
(8)

with  $X := -x_0(a-1) - dan$  and  $Y := -x_0(a-1) - dan + d(a-1)$ .

Now we try to completely solve equation (8) for  $4 \le a \le 100$ . Clearly, it is enough to consider the cases where n is an odd prime, or 4.

**Lemma 1** The only solutions of equation (8) for  $4 \le a \le 100$ , if  $a \ne 93$  or if a = 93 and  $n \ne 2^u 31^v$  ( $u = 0, 1, v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ), are those listed in the following Table.

n	a	(X,Y)
3	9	(-8, -4), (-2, -2), (4, 0)
6	9	(2,0),(-2,0)
3	10	(1,-2),(11,5)
3	19	(7,1)
3	28	(-27, -9), (-3, -3), (9, 0)
6	28	(3,0),(-3,0)
3	29	(1, -3)
3	36	(13,3)
3	37	(10, -2)
3	38	(7, -3), (11, -1)
3	57	(-8, -4)
3	65	(-64, -16), (-4, -4), (16, 0)
6	65	(4,0),(-4,0)
12	65	(2,0),(-2,0)
3	66	(1, -4)

n	a	(X,Y)
3	73	(8, -4)
3	74	(47,11)
3	93	(118,26)
4	5	(6,4), (-6,4), (-6,-4), (6,-4), (2,0), (-2,0)
4	10	(3,0),(-3,0)
4	17	(4,0),(-4,0)
8	17	(2,0),(-2,0)
4	26	(5,0), (-5,0)
4	37	(6,0),(-6,0)
4	50	(7,0),(-7,0)
4	65	(8,0), (-8,0), (12,4), (-12,4), (-12,-4), (12,-4)
4	82	(9,0),(-9,0)
8	82	(3,0),(-3,0)
4	90	(37, 12), (-37, 12), (-37, -12), (37, -12)
5	33	(-8, -4), (-2, -2), (4, 0)
10	33	(2,0),(-2,0)
5	34	(1, -2)

The method contains the following ingredients:

- Baker's method, for bounding n in terms of a (Bakery)
- Finding contradictions (mod p)
- Solving the remaining equations via MAGMA, where possible
- Using theory of modular forms

Lemma 4. (Pintér, 2004) Let

$$F(x,y) = ax^n - by^n, \ a \neq b$$

be a binary form of degree  $n \ge 3$ , with positive integer cofficients a and b. Set  $A = \max\{a, b, 3\}$ . Suppose that

$$F(x,y) = c$$

with x > |y| > 0,  $3\log(1.5|c/b|) \le 7400 \frac{\log A}{\lambda}$  and  $\frac{\log 2c}{\log 2} \le 8\log A$ . Then we have

$$n \le \min\left(7400 \frac{\log A}{\lambda}, 3106 \log A\right).$$

The local method:

Choose a small integer k such that p = 2kn + 1 is a prime. Then  $X^n$  and  $Y^n$  are both 2k-th roots of unity modulo p. Thus we have to check

$$X^n - aY^n \equiv (a - 1)^2 \pmod{p}$$

only in a "few" cases. Programmed in MAGMA, this method works very efficiently.

**Lemma 5.** (Bennett, Skinner) Suppose that a, b, c, A, B, C are non-zero integers with aA, bB, cC pairwise coprime,  $ab \neq \pm 1$ , satisfying

$$Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^2$$

with  $n \ge 7$  a prime and (n, ABC) = 1. Then there exists a cuspidal newform  $f = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r q^r$  of weight 2, trivial Nebentypus character and level N, with  $N := \operatorname{Rad}_2(AB)\operatorname{Rad}_2(C)^2 \varepsilon_2$ , where

$$\varepsilon_{2} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(Bb^{n}) = 6 \\ 2 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(Bb^{n}) \geq 7 \\ 4 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(B) = 2 \text{ and } b \equiv -BC/4 \pmod{4} \\ 8 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(B) = 2 \text{ and } b \equiv BC/4 \pmod{4}, \\ & \text{or if } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(B) \in \{4, 5\} \\ 32 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(B) = 3 \text{ or if } bBC \text{ is odd} \\ 128 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(B) = 1 \\ 256 & \text{if } C \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if we write  $K_f$  for the field of definition of the Fourier coefficients  $c_r$  of the form f and suppose that p is a prime coprime to nN, then

$$Norm_{K_f/\mathbb{Q}}(c_p - a_p) \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

where  $a_p = \pm (p+1)$  or  $a_p \in \{x : |x| < 2\sqrt{p}, x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}.$ 

**Lemma 6.** (Kraus) Suppose that a, b, c, A, B, C are non-zero integers with aA, bB, cC pairwise coprime,  $ab \neq \pm 1$ , satisfying

$$Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^n$$

with  $n \ge 5$  a prime and (n, ABC) = 1. Then for f, N as in Lemma 5 we have

$$\varepsilon_n := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_2(ABC) = 3\\ 2 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_2(ABC) = 0 \text{ or if } \operatorname{ord}_2(ABC) \ge 5\\ 8 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_2(ABC) = 2 \text{ or } 3\\ 32 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_2(ABC) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if we write  $K_f$  for the field of definition of the Fourier coefficients  $c_r$  of the form f and suppose that p is a prime coprime to nN, then

$$Norm_{K_f/\mathbb{Q}}(c_p - a_p) \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$
  
where  $a_p = \pm (p+1)$  or  $a_p \in \{x : |x| < 2\sqrt{p}, x \equiv p+1 \pmod{4}\}.$