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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a quartic number field with Galois group $D_{8}$ and denotes $\mathbb{L}=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ its quadratic subfield. As in the paper [2] we assume that the extension $\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{L}$ has a relative integral basis and $\mathbb{K}$ is given in the form $\mathbb{K}=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\mu})$, with $\mu=\frac{e+f \sqrt{m}}{2}$ beeing a squre free integer in $\mathbb{L}$.

Extending and making more explicite the results of [2] we are given in this paper a method for the resolution of the index form equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathbf{K} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=J \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ denotes a given non-zero integer. We are given also an algorithm for establishing the minimal index of the field $\mathbb{K}$.

Our method is based on an algorithm, which solves diophantine equations of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}=x^{2}+D \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is a given integer and $G_{n}$ denotes the $n$-th term of a linear recurrence sequence of order two. The presented method does not work for all equations of form (2), but we are able to characterise the cases when it can be applied successfully.

Our notations will be the same as in [2], if needed we refer equation ' $x$ ' of [2] as (1.x).

## 2 Translation of (1) to (2)

The proof of the following two statements are essentially the same as the proof of Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 of [2]. In the sequel $\alpha^{\prime}$ denotes the conjugate of $\alpha \in \mathbb{L}$.

Proposition 1 Let $J \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\underline{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ is a solution of (1) if and only if there exist $j_{1}, j_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $j_{1} j_{2}=J$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}^{2}+\left(w+w^{\prime}\right) x_{3} x_{4}+w w^{\prime} x_{4}^{2}=j_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{12}(\underline{x}) l_{23}(\underline{x}) l_{34}(\underline{x}) l_{41}(\underline{x})=j_{2}\left(w-w^{\prime}\right)^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1 If the system of equations (3) and (4) has a solution $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$, then there exists a $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}=j_{1}^{2} \frac{e^{2}-f^{2} m}{4}\left(\frac{c^{2}-d^{2} m}{4}\right)^{2}+4 j_{2} h^{2} m \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.

Theorem 2 Assume that the system of equations (3) and (4) has a solution $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$. Let $\varepsilon \geq 1$ be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a maximal set of nonassociated elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{L}}$ with norm $j_{1}$. Then there exist $\beta \in \mathcal{B} ; y, n, v \in \mathbb{Z} ; v$ satisfying (5) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(e+f \sqrt{m})(c+d \sqrt{m})^{2} \beta^{2} \varepsilon^{2 n}+(e-f \sqrt{m})(c-d \sqrt{m})^{2} \beta^{\prime 2} \varepsilon^{\prime 2 n}}{2}=m y^{2}+8 v . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Further, if $m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$ then

$$
x_{3}=\frac{\beta \varepsilon^{n}+\beta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime n}}{2}, x_{4}=\frac{\beta \varepsilon^{n}-\beta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime n}}{2 \sqrt{m}} \text { and } x_{2}=\frac{-2\left(b x_{3}+a x_{4}\right)+y}{8}
$$

and if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ then

$$
x_{3}=\frac{-w^{\prime} \beta \varepsilon^{n}+w \beta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime n}}{\sqrt{m}}, x_{4}=\frac{\beta \varepsilon^{n}-\beta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime n}}{\sqrt{m}} \text { and } x_{2}=\frac{-2 b x_{3}-(a+b) x_{4}+y}{4} .
$$

Proof Assume that $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ is a solution of (1). Then there exist by Proposition 1 and by Theorem 1 integers $j_{1}, j_{2}, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which (3), (4) and (5) hold.

If $m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$ then $(3)$ has the form

$$
x_{3}^{2}-m x_{4}^{2}=j_{1} .
$$

Hence there exist $\beta \in \mathcal{B}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$
x_{3}+\sqrt{m} x_{4}=\beta \varepsilon^{n} .
$$

This implies that $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ have the form given in the theorem.
Inserting $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ into (1.19) we obtain

$$
\frac{\left(m A_{3}+A_{4}+A_{34} \sqrt{m}\right) \beta^{2} \varepsilon^{2 n}+\left(m A_{3}+A_{4}-A_{34} \sqrt{m}\right) \beta^{\prime 2} \varepsilon^{\prime 2 n}}{4 m}=y_{1}^{2}+A_{0}-\frac{j_{1}\left(m A_{3}-A_{4}\right)}{2 m} .
$$

Using that we have $g=0, h=2$ in the actual case, the constants appearing in 7 become

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{3} & =4 m\left(c^{2} e+d^{2} m e+2 c d f m\right) \\
A_{4} & =4 m^{2}\left(c^{2} e+d^{2} m e+2 c d f m\right)=m A_{3} \\
A_{34} & =8 m^{2}\left(c^{2} f+d^{2} m f+2 c d e\right) \\
A_{0} & =32 m v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the third summand staying on the right hand side of 7 is 0 , and

$$
m A_{3}+A_{4}+A_{34} \sqrt{m}=8 m^{2}(e+f \sqrt{m})(c+d \sqrt{m})^{2}
$$

Thus 7 has the form
$2 m\left[(e+f \sqrt{m})(c+d \sqrt{m})^{2} \beta^{2} \varepsilon^{2 n}+(e-f \sqrt{m})(c-d \sqrt{m})^{2} \beta^{\prime 2} \varepsilon^{\prime 2 n}\right]=y_{1}^{2}+32 m v$.
As in the actual case $e$ and $f$ are even and $m$ is square-free, $2 m$ divides $y_{1}$, say $y_{1}=2 m y$. Dividing the last equation by $4 m$ we get (6) at once.
If $m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$ then the proof is similar and is left to the reader.

## 3 Properties of recurrence sequences

Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $P^{2}+4 Q \neq 0$ and denote by $\alpha, \beta$ the (distinct) zeros of $x^{2}-P x-Q$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and even $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in case $|\mathbf{Q}|=1$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{n}(P, Q) & =\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n} \\
U_{n}(P, Q) & =\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
W_{n}(P, Q)=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
V_{n}(P, Q) & \text { if } \mathrm{P} \text { is odd } \\
V_{n}(P, Q) / 2 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that for even $P$ also $V_{n}$ is even and for odd $P$ the number $V_{n}$ is even if and only if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. The following properties can be proved for $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ without difficulties:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 U_{n+l} & =U_{n} V_{l}+U_{l} V_{n}  \tag{8}\\
2 V_{n+l} & =V_{n} V_{l}+(\alpha-\beta)^{2} U_{n} U_{l}  \tag{9}\\
V_{2 n} & =V_{n}^{2}-2(-Q)^{n}  \tag{10}\\
U_{2 n} & =U_{n} V_{n}  \tag{11}\\
V_{n} & \mid V_{n m} \text { for all odd m. } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1 Let $|Q|=1$ and $n=2^{k} m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq 1$. Additionally, if $P$ is odd let $m \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and if $Q=1$ let $m$ be even. Then the congruences

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U_{n+l} \equiv-U_{l}\left(\bmod W_{2^{k-1} m}\right)  \tag{13}\\
V_{n+l} \equiv-V_{l}\left(\bmod W_{2^{k-1} m}\right)
\end{array}
$$

hold for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof We only prove (13) because the proof of the other congruence is similar. By (8),(11) and (10) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 U_{n+l} & =U_{n} V_{l}+U_{l} V_{n} \equiv U_{l} V_{n} \quad\left(\bmod \quad V_{n / 2}\right) \\
& \equiv-2 U_{l}(-Q)^{n / 2} \quad\left(\bmod \quad V_{n / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Q=-1$ or if $Q=1$ and $m$ is even we get

$$
2 U_{n+l} \equiv-2 U_{l}\left(\bmod V_{n / 2}\right)
$$

If $P$ is even then $V_{n / 2}$ is even too, otherwise $\left(2, V_{n / 2}\right)=1$ because $3 \Lambda m$. Dividing the last congruence by 2 we get (13).

This lemma can be generalized to all second order recurrence sequences. If all terms of a sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the equation

$$
G_{n+2}=P G_{n+1}+Q G_{n}
$$

then $x^{2}-P x-Q$ is called the characteristic polynomial of $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$.
Theorem 3 Let $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ be a second order recurrence sequence of integers with characteristic polynomial $x^{2}-P x-Q$. Let $n, k$ and $m$ be as in Lemma 1. Then the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n+l} \equiv-G_{l}\left(\bmod W_{2^{k-1} m}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof It is well known that

$$
G_{n}=\frac{a \alpha^{n}-b \beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta}
$$

for $a=G_{1}-\beta G_{0}, b=G_{1}-\alpha G_{0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence a short calculation calculation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}=G_{1} U_{n}+Q G_{0} U_{n-1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (13) we get (14) immediately.

## 4 Background of the first sieving procedure

In the sequel $\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol for $x, m \in \mathbb{Z}, m>0$. For an integer $m$ fix an complete residue system mod $m$ and let $r(m)$ denote the length of the minimal period of the sequence $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}U_{n} & \bmod & m\end{array}\right\}$. It follows from (10) that if $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ denotes a recurrence sequence with the same characteristic polynomial, as $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ then the minimal period of $\left\{G_{n} \bmod m\right\}$ divides $r(m)$. This time Q is arbitrary. The following lemma can be used very efficiently to prove that (2) is not solvable or to localize its solutions in a few residue classes with respect to an appropriate module. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[a, b]$ will denote the least common multiple of $a$ and $b$.

Lemma 2 Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}, S=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t}\right\}$ a set of prime numbers, $R=\left[r\left(p_{1}\right), \ldots, r\left(p_{t}\right)\right]$ and $\mathcal{M}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}\right\}$ with $0 \leq m_{1}<m_{2}<\ldots<m_{s}<R$. If there exists for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$ an $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{G_{m}-D}{p_{i}}\right)=-1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solution $n, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ of (2) satisfy $n \not \equiv m(\bmod R)$, for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$.
Proof Assume that $n, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution of (1) with $n(\bmod R) \in \mathcal{M}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{G_{n}-D}{p}\right)=1 \quad \text { or } \quad 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2) for all primes $p$.
On the other hand there exists by the assumption of the lemma a $p_{i} \in S$ with (16). As $n \equiv m(\bmod R)$ and $r\left(p_{i}\right)$ divides $R$ we have $n \equiv m\left(\bmod r\left(p_{i}\right)\right)$. Thus $G_{n} \equiv G_{m}\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)$ and the equations (17) and (16) are contradictory.

The idea to use modular method for the resolution of (2) goes back to Wunderlich [8]. The combination of it with effective upper bound for the solutions was applied by Pethő for establishing the cubes [5] and the fifth powers [6] in the Fibonacci sequence. An "intelligent" implementation is described in Nemes [4].

## 5 The second sieving procedure.

The disadventage of the first sieving procedure is that if (2) has a solution $n, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we are not able to localize it in its residue class $\bmod R$. Therefore we need another method to prove that for all but one elements of the residue class $\bmod R_{1}$ containing $n$ equation (2) is not soluble. Here $R_{1}$ denotes an other module, which is (at least we hope) not much bigger as $R$.
Such a method was found by Cohn [1] and applied also by Ribenboim [7]. In the next lemma we formulate the background of the algorithm. We assume in the sequel that for the occouring recurrence sequences $|Q|=1$.

Lemma 3 Let $m, D \in \mathbb{Z}, S=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t}\right\}$ a set of prime numbers with $p_{i}>$ $3,1 \leq i \leq t$. Assume that there exist $a, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that there exist for every $\alpha \geq a$ integers $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{t} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 \leq \beta_{i} \leq b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{-G_{m}-D}{W_{2^{\alpha} p_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{\beta_{t}}}}\right)=-1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2) has at most one solution $n, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv m\left(\bmod 2^{a+1} p_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{b_{t}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is $n=m$.
Proof Let $n, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a solution of (2) with (19). Then there exists a $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n=m 2^{a+1} s h$, where $s=p_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{b_{t}}$. Let $h= \pm 2^{c} h_{1}$ with $h_{1}$ odd. Then $V_{2^{a+c+1} s}$ divides $V_{2^{a+c+1} s h_{1}}$ by (12) and

$$
G_{n}-D \equiv-G_{m}-D\left(\bmod W_{2^{a+c_{s}}}\right)
$$

by Lemma 1. Put $\alpha=a+c \geq a$. Then there exist by the assumption $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{t} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq \beta_{i} \leq b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$ satisfying (18). As by (12) we have $V_{2}^{\alpha} p_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{\beta_{t}}$ divides $V_{2}^{\alpha} p_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{b_{t}}$ the last congruence implies

$$
G_{n}-D \equiv-G_{m}-D\left(\bmod W_{2^{\alpha}} p_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{\beta_{t}}\right)
$$

This together with (18) contradicts that $n, x$ is a solution of (2).
How to use this lemma?
We can apply Jacobi's reciprocity law almost automatically because of the following property of the sequence $W_{n}$.

$$
W_{4 n}(P, Q) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
-1 & (\bmod 4) & \text { if } \mathrm{P} \text { is odd } \\
1 & (\bmod 4) & \text { if } \mathrm{P} \text { is even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is true for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is not divisible by 3 . The proof is a simple application of (12). Choosing $\alpha \geq 2$ and combining the last congruence with (18) we get

$$
\left(\frac{-G_{m}-D}{W_{2^{\alpha}} p_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{\beta_{t}}}\right)= \pm\left(\frac{W_{2^{\alpha}} p_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{\beta_{t}}}{G_{m}+D}\right)
$$

where the sign on the right hand side depends only on the sign of $G_{m}+D$ and of the parity of $P$.
To be able to apply Lemma 3 we have to analyze the sequence $V_{n}$ more carefully. This is done in the next section.

## 6 Analysis of the second sieving procedure

For fixed $t, M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ define

$$
v(t, M, n) \equiv V_{t 2^{n}}(\bmod M)
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where we take the smallest non-negative residues $(\bmod M)$. It is obvious that the sequence $\{v(t, M, n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is periodic. Let $e(t, M)$ and $r(t, M)$ the length of the minimal preperiod, normalized such that $e \geq 1$, and the minimal period of $\{v(t, M, n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ respectively. Then we have

Lemma 4 Let $t$ be odd and $M>1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t, M) \mid r(1, M) \quad \text { and } \quad e(t, M) \leq e(1, M) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We prove (20) by induction on $t$. It is obviously true for $t=1$. Assume that it is true for any all $u$ with $1 \leq u<t$. Put $e=e(1, M)$ and $r=r(1, M)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(u, M, e) \equiv v(u, M, r+e)(\bmod M) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

immediately follows by the induction hypothesis for all $1 \leq u<t$, $u$ odd. Furthermore to prove (20) for $t$, it is sufficient to prove (21) for $u=t$. For $u=1$ equation (21) means by the definition of $V_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2^{e}}+\beta^{2^{e}} \equiv \alpha^{2^{e+r}}+\beta^{2^{e+r}}(\bmod M) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the $t$-th power of (22), using the binomial theorem and the identity $\binom{t}{j}=\binom{t}{t-j}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{(t-1) / 2}\binom{t}{j}\left(\alpha^{j 2^{e}} \beta^{(t-j) 2^{e}}+\alpha^{(u-j) 2^{e}} \beta^{j 2^{2}}\right) \\
\equiv & \sum_{j=0}^{(t-1) / 2}\binom{t}{j}\left(\alpha^{j 2^{e+r}} \beta^{(t-j) 2^{e+r}}+\alpha^{(u-j) 2^{e+r}} \beta^{j 2^{e+r}}\right) \quad(\bmod M) . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $j<t-j, \alpha \beta=-Q$ and $e \geq 1$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{j 2^{e}} \beta^{(t-j) 2^{e}} & =\beta^{(t-2 j) 2^{e}} \\
\alpha^{(t-j) 2^{e}} \beta^{j 2^{e}} & =\alpha^{(t-2 j) 2^{e}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogous identities hold if we replace e by $e+r$. Thus (23) implies

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{(t-1) / 2}\binom{t}{j}\left(V_{(t-2 j) 2^{e}}-V_{(t-2 j) 2^{e+r}}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod M)
$$

As $t-2 j<t$ for $j>0$ and $t-2 j$ is always odd, all the summands with $j>0$ staying on the left hand side of the last congruence, are 0 by the inductions hypothesis. The remaining congruence is exactly (21) with $u=t$, and the lemma is proved.

We are now in the position to be able to characterize those values of $n, D$ for which the result of Lemma 4 can be applied successfuly. We remark that if $n$ and $D$ are fixed then $-G_{n}-D$ is a fixed integer, say $M$.

Theorem 4 Let $|M|>1$ be an odd integer. If there exist integers $m_{1}, m_{2}$ such that $e(1, M) \leq m_{1}, m_{2} \leq e(1, M)+r(1, M)$ and

$$
\left(\frac{W_{2^{m_{1}}}}{M}\right)\left(\frac{W_{2^{m_{2}}}}{M}\right)=-1
$$

then there exists for all $m, \varepsilon$ such that $e(1, M) \leq m \leq e(1, M)+r(1, M)$ and $\varepsilon \in\{1,-1\}$ a prime $p>3$ for which

$$
\left(\frac{W_{2^{m} p}}{M}\right)=\varepsilon
$$

holds.
Proof Take $e=e(1, M)$ and $r=r(1, M)$ for simplicity. Denotes $R=R(M)$ the minimal length of period of the sequence $\left\{V_{n} \bmod M\right\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$. We remark that this sequence is for all $M$ purelly periodic because $|Q|=1$. Let $R=2^{s} u$, where $u$ is odd. Starting, if necessary, with a longer preperiod as the minimal one, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
\left(\frac{W_{2^{m_{1}}}}{M}\right)=\varepsilon,
$$

$e=m_{1} \geq s$ and $m_{1} \leq m$. There exists by Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetical progressions a prime $p$ which satisfies the congruence $p 2^{m} \equiv 2^{m_{1}}(\bmod R)$. This implies $V_{2^{m} p} \equiv V_{2^{m_{1}}}(\bmod M)$ and as $M$ is odd we get $W_{2^{m} p} \equiv W_{2^{m_{1}}}(\bmod M)$ from which the assertion of the theorem follows at once.

Combining the results of Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 we get immediately

Corollary 1 Let $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ be a recurrence sequence with $|Q|=1, D \in \mathbb{Z}$ and take $M=G_{m}+D$. Let $\left\{V_{n}\right\}$ be the recursive sequence defined by the zeros of the characteristic polynomial of $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$. Assume that there exist integers $m_{1}, m_{2}$ such that $e(1, M) \leq m_{1}, m_{2} \leq e(1, M)+r(1, M)$ and

$$
\left(\frac{W_{2^{m_{1}}}}{M}\right)\left(\frac{W_{2^{m_{2}}}}{M}\right)=-1
$$

then there exist an integer $a \leq e(1, M)+r(1, M)+1$ and primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t}>3$ such that (2) has at most one solution $n, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \equiv m\left(\bmod 2^{a} p_{1} \cdots p_{t}\right)$ and this is $n=m$.
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