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## 1. Introduction

In [GPZ 1] we describe a method, due to Lang and Zagier, for computing all integral points on an elliptic curve $E$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers. The method requires the knowledge of the Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and relies on height calculations and on estimating linear forms in complex elliptic logarithms. The corresponding algorithm, implemented in the computer algebra package SIMATH, works quite well for curves $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ of rank $r \leq 7$ (see [G]). In [GPZ 2] the algorithm is applied to Mordell's elliptic curves

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+k \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0)
$$

for $k$ within the range

$$
|k| \leq 100,000 .
$$

Moreover, some interesting numerical experiments relating to Hall's conjecture are carried through. A report about these endeavors is given in [GPZ 3]. In that report we also point out that the algorithm can be extended to yield all $S$-integral points on $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, when $S$ is chosen as a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ including the infinite place. Some tables of $S$-integral points are exhibited there for $S=\{2,3,5, \infty\}$, but the extended procedure based on $p$-adic elliptic logarithms in addition to the complex logarithm is not elaborated on.

In the present report, we outline the $p$-adic method and list some new tables concerning $S$-integral points on elliptic curves. The results are achieved by means of the implementation of the extended algorithm in the SIMATH package.

Basically, for the $p$-adic case, we shall follow the line of thought as described in $[\mathrm{Sm}]$. However, our approach differs from the one taken in $[\mathrm{Sm}]$ in two respects. First, we use different height estimates and second, in the case of rank at most two curves, we rely on an explicit bound for linear forms in two $p$-adic elliptic logarithms which was recently established by Rémond and Urfels [R-U]. The crucial idea is to establish an inequality for two functions on the maximum $N$ of the coefficients of $S$-integral points (see (2) below) of which the lower function exceeds the upper one for sufficiently large $N$ thus leading to an upper bound for $N$.

## 2. Height estimates

Let

$$
E: Y^{2}+a_{1} X Y+a_{3} Y=X^{3}+a_{2} X^{2}+a_{4} X+a_{6} \quad\left(a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

be the given elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with Tate's quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{2}=a_{1}^{4}+4 a_{2}, b_{4}=2 a_{4}+a_{1} a_{3}, b_{6}=a_{3}^{2}+4 a_{6}, \\
& b_{8}=a_{1}^{2} a_{6}+4 a_{2} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{3} a_{4}+a_{2} a_{3}^{2}-a_{4}^{2}, \\
& c_{4}=b_{2}^{2}-24 b_{4}, c_{6}=-b_{2}^{3}+36 b_{2} b_{4}-216 b_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$E / \mathbb{Q}$ has discriminant

$$
\Delta=-b_{2}^{2} b_{8}-8 b_{4}^{3}-27 b_{6}^{2}+9 b_{2} b_{4} b_{6}
$$

and absolute invariant

$$
j=\frac{c_{4}}{\Delta}=: \frac{j_{1}}{j_{2}} \quad\left(j_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)=1\right)
$$

The invariant differential is

$$
\omega=\frac{d x}{2 y+a_{1} x+a_{3}}=\frac{d y}{3 x^{2}+2 a_{2}+a_{4}-a_{1} y} .
$$

By Mordell's theorem, the group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ of rational points on $E$ is finitely generated:

$$
E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{r}
$$

where $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ is the torsion group and $r$ the rank of $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that a basis $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r} \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ of the free part of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is known. Then every rational point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ has a unique representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=n_{1} P_{1}+\cdots+n_{r} P_{r}+P_{r+1} \quad\left(n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a torsion point $P_{r+1} \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$.
We fix an arbitrary finite set $S$ of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ (including the infinite one):

$$
S:=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s-1}, \infty\right\} .
$$

Our aim is to find an upper bound $N_{2}$ for the coefficients $n_{i}$ in the representation (1) of $S$-integral points $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N:=\max _{i=1, \ldots, r}\left\{\left|n_{i}\right|_{\infty}\right\} \leq N_{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end we start by estimating heights. The multiplicative height of a rational point $P=(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ is defined as the following product over all primes $p$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ (including $p=\infty$ ):

$$
H(P):=\prod_{p} \max \left\{1,|x|_{p}\right\}
$$

where $\|\left.\right|_{p}$ are the normalized multiplicative absolute values of $\mathbb{Q}$ corresponding to the places $p$ and satisfying the product formula. The ordinary additive height is then

$$
h(P):=\frac{1}{2} \log H(P)
$$

and the canonical additive height

$$
\hat{h}(P):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} P\right)}{2^{2 n}} .
$$

From Theorem 5 in $[\mathrm{Z}]$, one readily derives the inequality

$$
h(P) \geq \hat{h}(P)-C_{1} \quad \text { for } P \in E(\mathbb{Q})
$$

with the constant

$$
C_{1}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log 2+\mu_{\infty}\right)
$$

in which

$$
\mu_{\infty}:=\log \max \left\{\left|b_{2}\right|_{\infty},\left|b_{4}\right|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}},\left|b_{6}\right|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}},\left|b_{8}\right|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\}
$$

As explained in [GPZ 1], this leads to a lower estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(P) \geq \lambda_{1} N^{2}-C_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

involving the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the regulator matrix associated with the basis points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r} \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ via the canonical height $\hat{h}$.

Let now $P=(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ be an $S$-integral point and choose $p \in S$ in such a way that

$$
|x|_{p}=\max \left\{|x|_{p_{1}}, \ldots,|x|_{p_{s-1}},|x|_{\infty}\right\} .
$$

Then we conclude that

$$
H(P) \leq|x|_{p}^{s} \quad \text { for } s:=\sharp S
$$

and hence that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(P) \leq \frac{s}{2} \log |x|_{p} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3) and (4) yields (cf. [G])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|x|_{p}^{1 / 2}} \leq C_{2} \exp \left(-C_{3} N^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
C_{2}:=\exp \left(\frac{C_{1}}{s}\right), C_{3}:=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{s} .
$$

## 3. Elliptic logarithms

A lower bound for $|x|_{p}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ to supplement the upper bound (5) is now obtained by estimating a linear form in elliptic logarithms. Two cases are to be distinguished, the classical case of $p=\infty$ and the $p$-adic case. In both cases it is convenient to transform the above long Weierstrass equation for $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ into short Weierstrass form:

$$
E: \quad Y^{2}=X^{3}+a X+b \quad(a, b \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

Note that the canonical height $\hat{h}$ is invariant under the corresponding birational transformation over $\mathbb{Q}$ (cf. Theorem 1 in $[\mathrm{Z}]$ ).

Case 1: $p=\infty \in S$. In this case S. David [D] computed a lower bound for linear forms in complex elliptic logarithms. The elliptic curve $E$, now assumed to be given in short Weierstrass form over $\mathbb{Q}$, is parametrized by the Weierstrass function $\wp(u)$ and its derivative $\wp^{\prime}(u)$. The complex argument $u \in \mathbb{C}$ of a rational point

$$
P=(x, y)=\left(\wp(u), \wp^{\prime}(u)\right) \in E(\mathbb{Q})
$$

is called the elliptic logarithm of $P$. David's lower bound involves the following quantities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
g:=E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}, \text { the order of the torsion group }  \tag{6}\\
C:=2.9 \cdot 10^{6 r+6} \cdot 4^{2 r^{2}}(r+1)^{2 r^{2}+9 r+12.3}  \tag{7}\\
h:=\log \max \left\{4\left|a j_{2}\right|_{\infty}, 4\left|b j_{2}\right|_{\infty},\left|j_{1}\right|_{\infty},\left|j_{2}\right|_{\infty}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

and some numbers $V_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\log V_{i} \geq \max \left\{\hat{h}\left(P_{i}\right), h, \frac{3 \pi\left|u_{i}\right|^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2}} \operatorname{im}(\tau)^{-1}\right\} \quad(i=1, \ldots, r)
$$

where $u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the elliptic logarithms of the generating points $P_{i}$ of $E(\mathbb{Q})$, $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ denote the real and complex period of $E$, respectively, and $\tau=\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}}$ is the quotient of $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$. The desired lower bound complementing (5) is then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\omega_{1}}{g \sqrt{8}} \exp \left\{-C h^{r+1}\left(\log \left(\frac{r+1}{2} g N\right)+1\right)\left(\log \log \left(\frac{r+1}{2} g N\right)+1\right)^{r+1}\right. \\
\left.\left.\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \log V_{i}\right)\right\} \leq \frac{1}{|x|_{\infty}^{1 / 2}} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Of course, the inequalities (5) and (8) for $|x|_{\infty}^{1 / 2}$ have an analogue for the elliptic logarithm $u^{\prime}=g u \in \mathbb{R}$ of the $g$-fold multiple $P^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=g P=g(x, y)$ of our $S$-integral point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ (see the proposition on page 179 in [GPZ 1]).
Case $2 p=p_{i} \in S$ (for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ ).
Here we use $p$-adic elliptic logarithms (cf. [G], [S], [Sm]). Unfortunately, an explicit lower bound similar to (8) in the complex case exists only for $r=2$.

We explain in some detail how one proceeds in the $p$-adic case. Let $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be the $p$-adic completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ its ring of $p$-adic integers. Denote by

$$
E_{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right):=\left\{P \in E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \mid \tilde{P}=\tilde{O}\right\}
$$

the kernel of the reduction map modulo $p$, where $E$ is regarded as a curve over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ are the reduced points $P, \mathcal{O}$ modulo $p$. Designate by $\mathcal{E}\left(p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ the formal group associated to $E$ (cf. [S]). We consider the isomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathcal{E}\left(p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) & \longrightarrow E_{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) & \text { if } z=0 \\
z & \longmapsto\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } z \neq 0
\end{array}\right\},
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
z=-\frac{x}{y}, w(z)=-\frac{1}{y} .
$$

The equation for $w=w(z)$ entailed from the long Weierstrass equation for $E / \mathbb{Q}$ becomes

$$
w=z^{3}+a_{1} z w+a_{2} z^{2} w+a_{3} w^{2}+a_{4} z w^{2}+a_{6} w^{3}=f(z, w) .
$$

A recursive procedure based on this equation (see $[\mathrm{S}]$ ) leads to the power series

$$
\begin{aligned}
w= & z^{3}+a_{1} z^{4}+\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}\right) z^{5}+\left(a_{1}^{3}+2 a_{1} a_{2}+a_{3}\right) z^{6} \\
& +\left(a_{1}^{4}+3 a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+3 a_{1} a_{3}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{4}\right) z^{7}+\cdots \\
& \in \mathbb{Z}\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{6}\right][[z]] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the unique power series in $z$ satisfying the relation

$$
w(z)=f(z, w(z))
$$

¿From it we also get the Laurent series for $x$ and $y$, viz.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(z)=\frac{z}{w(z)}=\frac{1}{z^{2}}-\frac{a_{1}}{z}-a_{2}-a_{3} z-\left(a_{4}+a_{1} a_{3}\right) z^{2}-\cdots,  \tag{9}\\
& y(z)=-\frac{1}{w(z)}=-\frac{1}{z^{3}}+\frac{a_{1}}{z^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{z}+a_{3}+\left(a_{4}+a_{1} a_{3}\right) z+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

The invariant differential has the expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(z)= & \left(1+a_{1} z+\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(a_{1}^{3}+2 a_{1} a_{2}+a_{3}\right) z^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(a_{1}^{4}+3 a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+6 a_{1} a_{3}+a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{4}\right) z^{4}+\cdots\right) d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in these expansions the coefficients of the powers of $z$ each have the same weight depending on the exponent of $z$.

The $p$-adic elliptic logarithm is now the homomorphism to the additive group $\hat{G}_{a}$ (over the $p$-adic analogue $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ of the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ ) defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\psi_{p}: E_{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) & \longrightarrow & \hat{G}_{a} \\
P=(x, y) & \longmapsto & \int \omega(z)=z+\frac{d_{1}}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d_{2}}{3} z^{3}+\cdots .
\end{array}
$$

In particular, the $p$-adic logarithm $\psi_{p}$ has the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{p}(P+Q)=\psi_{p}(P)+\psi_{p}(Q) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\psi_{p}(P)\right|_{p}=|z|_{p}=\left|-\frac{x}{y}\right|_{p} .
$$

Let $\tilde{E}$ be the reduced curve $E$ modulo $p$ and denote by $\mathcal{N}_{p}=\sharp \tilde{E}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ the number of rational points on $\tilde{E} / \mathbb{F}_{p}$. With the order $g$ of the torsion group introduced in (6), we define the number

$$
m:=\operatorname{lcm}\left(g, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)
$$

Then, we have, from the Lutz filtration of $E$,

$$
m P_{i}=: P_{i}^{\prime} \in E_{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, r)
$$

for the generating points $p_{i}$ of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and

$$
m P_{r+1}=\mathcal{O}
$$

for the torsion points $P_{r+1} \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$.
The representation (1) of an $S$-integral point $P=(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ gives rise to the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}=n_{1}^{\prime} P_{1}+\cdots+n_{r}^{\prime} P_{r}=n_{1} P_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots n_{r} P_{r}^{\prime} \quad\left(n_{i}^{\prime}:=m n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of its $m$-multiple $P^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=m P \in E_{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. In analogy to (9), we then have the Laurent series

$$
x^{\prime}=\frac{z^{\prime}}{w\left(z^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{z^{\prime 2}}-\frac{a_{1}}{z^{\prime}}-a_{2}-a_{3} z^{\prime}-\left(a_{4}+a_{1} a_{3}\right) z^{2}-\cdots
$$

and this expansion entails the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{p} \leq \frac{1}{\left|z^{\prime}\right|_{p}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left|t^{\prime}\right|_{p}^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use the abbreviating notation $t^{\prime}:=\psi_{p}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ for the elliptic logarithm of $P^{\prime}$.

On combining the inequalities (5) and (12) and observing that $\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{p} \geq|x|_{p}$, we end up with the upper estimate for the $p$-adic elliptic loarithm $t^{\prime}=\psi\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ of the point $P^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=m P=m(x, y)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t^{\prime}\right|_{p} \leq \frac{1}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{p}^{1 / 2}} \leq \frac{1}{|x|_{p}^{1 / 2}} \leq C_{2} \exp \left(-C_{3} N^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the $p$-adic analogue of the right hand inequality exhibited in the proposition on page 179 of [GPZ 1]. In analogy to the left hand inequality in that proposition and to (8), what we need here is an explicit lower estimate for the $p$-value of the $p$-adic elliptic logarithm $t^{\prime}$ of $P^{\prime}$. As mentioned above, to date such an estimate is available only for $r \leq 2$.
¿From (10) and (11), we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\prime}=n_{1}^{\prime} t_{1}+\cdots+n_{r}^{\prime} t_{r}=n_{1} t_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots+n_{r} t_{r}^{\prime} \quad\left(n_{i}^{\prime}=m n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the elliptic logarithms $t^{\prime}=\psi_{p}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ of $P^{\prime}, t_{i}=\psi_{p}\left(P_{i}\right)$ of the generating points $P_{i}$ and $t_{i}^{\prime}=\psi_{p}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ of their $m$-multiples $P_{i}^{\prime}=m P_{i} \in E(\mathbb{Q})$.

As in the complex case, we again suppose $E$ to be given in short Weierstrass form with coefficients $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

An implicitly given lower bound is known for arbitrary ranks $r$ from a theorem of Bertrand [B]. Put

$$
B:=\log \max \left\{\left|n_{1}\right|_{\infty}, \ldots,\left|n_{r}\right|_{\infty}\right\}
$$

and

$$
H:=\max \left\{\hat{h}\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, \hat{h}\left(P_{r}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then, for $C M$ elliptic curves, Bertrand establishes the inequality

$$
\exp \left\{-c\left(p \log \left(m^{2} H\right)^{16 r^{2}}(\log B)^{8 r}\right\}<\left|t^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right.
$$

for $t^{\prime}=\psi\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
c=c(a, b, r) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}
$$

is a constant depending only on the coefficients $a, b$ of the short Weierstrass form and on the rank $r$ of $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. What we require, however, is an explicit form of this constant $c$ comparable to David's constant $C$ in (7) and (8). We trust that D. Bertrand or M. Waldschmidt will encourage a graduate student to work out the explicit form of this constant. A first step in this direction was already taken by Rémond and Urfels [R-U] in the special case of forms in $r=2$ logarithms. In fact they obtained the following analogue of (8).

On dividing (14) by $n_{2}$ and changing the sign, the linear form for $r=2$ reads

$$
\Lambda=n t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{2}^{\prime},
$$

where

$$
n=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}} \in \mathbb{Q} \text { satisfies }|n|_{p} \leq 1
$$

(without loss of generality). We introduce the auxiliary quantities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}:=\max \left\{1, \hat{h}\left(P_{i}\right)\right\} \quad(i=1,2) \\
& h(n):=\log \max \left\{\left|m_{1}\right|_{\infty},\left|m_{2}\right|_{\infty}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}$ is the simplest fraction representation of $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(E) & :=\log \max \left\{1,|a|_{\infty},|b|_{\infty}\right\}, \\
\sigma & :=\rho \max \left\{\left|t_{1}\right|_{p},\left|t_{2}\right|_{p}\right\}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho:=p^{-\lambda_{p}} \quad \text { for } \lambda_{p}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{p-1} & \text { if } p>2 \\
3 & \text { if } p=2
\end{array}\right\}, \\
\beta:=\max \left\{h(n), h(E), \hat{h}\left(P_{1}\right), \hat{h}\left(P_{2}\right), \delta\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\delta:=\max \left\{1,(\log \sigma)^{-1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\gamma:=\max \{1, h(E), \log \beta\} .
$$

Rémond and Urfels [R-U] now proved that $\Lambda \neq 0$ implies the inequality

$$
\exp \left\{-5.7 \cdot 10^{26} \cdot \alpha_{1} \cdot \alpha_{2} \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{3} \cdot \delta^{6} \cdot \log \sigma\right\} \leq|\Lambda|_{p}
$$

For any sufficiently large $N$, this inequality can be turned into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \exp \left\{-C^{\prime} \cdot \log N \cdot(\log \log N)^{3}\right\} \leq\left|t^{\prime}\right|_{p} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an explicitly computable constant $C^{\prime}$ depending on $\hat{h}\left(P_{i}\right), h(E), \rho$ and $\left|t_{i}\right|_{p}$. The estimate (15) is the analogue of the lower estimate for the complex elliptic logarithm given in the proposition on page 179 of [GPZ 1].

## 4. De Weger reduction

Comparing the lower bound (8) for the square root of the absolute value of the $x$-coordinate of our given $S$-integral point $P=(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ with the upper bound in (5) leads in case $1(p=\infty)$ to the inequality

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\lambda_{1}}{s} N^{2}<C h^{r+1}\left(\log \left(\frac{r+1}{2} g N\right)+1\right)\left(\log \log \left(\frac{r+1}{2} g N\right)+1\right)^{r+1} \\
\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \log V_{i}+\log \sqrt{8} g C_{2}-\log \omega_{1} . \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, comparing the lower bound (15) for the $p$-value of the $p$-adic elliptic logarithm $t^{\prime}=\psi_{p}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ of the point $P^{\prime}=m P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ (where $P=(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ is the given $S$-integral point) with the upper bound in (13) leads in case 2 (the $p$-adic case) to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{1}}{s} N^{2}<\log N\left(1+C^{\prime}(\log \log N)^{3}\right)+\log C_{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the above explicitly computable constant $C^{\prime}$.
In both cases, for sufficiently large $N$, the left hand side of (16) and (17) each exceeds the right hand side. Hence we obtain the desired upper bound

$$
N \leq N_{2}
$$

for the maximum, defined by (2), of the absolute values of the coefficients in the basis representation (1) of our $S$-integral points $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$.

In case 1, we may choose (cf. [G], [GPZ 1])

$$
N_{2}:=\max \left\{N_{1}, \frac{2 V}{r+1}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \log \left(g \frac{2^{7 / 3}}{\omega_{1}}\right)\right\},
$$

where

$$
V:=\max _{i=1, \ldots, r}\left\{V_{i}\right\}
$$

and

$$
N_{1}:=2^{r+2} \sqrt{d_{1} d_{2}} \log ^{\frac{r+2}{2}}\left(d_{2}(r+2)^{r+2}\right)
$$

for

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} & :=\max \left\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \log \left(g \frac{2^{7 / 3}}{\omega_{1}}\right)\right\} \\
d_{2} & :=\max \left\{10^{9}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} C\right\}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{r+1} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \log V_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $g=\sharp E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ as before in (6) and $C$ as in (7).
In case 2, analogous bounds can be derived from (17) if $E$ has rank $r \leq 2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Otherwise, we carry through our computations with an assumed bound

$$
N_{2} \approx 10^{40}
$$

and hope to find all $S$-integral points on $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ in this way.
However, the bound $N_{2}$ is by far too large to facilitate the determination of all $S$-integral points. For instance, we may come up with (see [GPZ 1])

$$
N_{2} \approx 10^{126}
$$

It is here where de Weger reduction (see [dW]) based on the $L L L$-algorithm comes into play. This recursive procedure reduces the bound to

$$
N_{2} \approx 10
$$

Then the search for all $S$-integral points on $E / \mathbb{Q}$ becomes feasible by means of an efficient sieving method (see [G]).

The application of de Weger reduction is described in detail in [G], [GPZ 1], $[\mathrm{Sm}]$ in case $1(p=\infty)$ and in $[\mathrm{G}],[\mathrm{Sm}]$ in case $2(p \neq \infty)$. We refrain therefore from presenting the reduction method here.

The extended algorithm for determining all $S$-integral points on elliptic curves $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ has been implemented by the first author $[\mathrm{G}]$ in the computer algebra package SIMATH. In the tables below we list some new examples.

In fact we computed the number of $S$-integral points for a varying set $S$ of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ on Mordell's curves for

$$
10,000<|k|<100,000
$$

but we selected only those curves which have either rank $r=5$ or rank $r=4$ and a large number of $S$-integral points.

## Mordell-Weil group

| k | $r$ | $t$ | basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -92712 | 5 | 1 | $(46,68),(58,320),(106,1048),\left(\frac{478}{9}, \frac{6452}{27}\right),\left(\frac{313}{4}, \frac{4973}{8}\right)$ |
| -43847 | 5 | 1 | $(38,105),(56,363),(62,441),(36,53),\left(\frac{177}{4}, \frac{1655}{8}\right)$ |
| -28279 | 5 | 1 | $(34,105),(40,189),(70,561),(32,67),(50,311)$ |
| 32977 | 4 | 1 | $(14,189),(-28,105),(98,987),(-6,181)$ |
| 54225 | 4 | 1 | $(-30,165),(30,285),(15,240),(90,885)$ |
| 66265 | 5 | 1 | $(-6,257),(-24,229),(24,283),(54,473),(-9,256)$ |
| 81077 | 5 | 1 | $(47,430),(83,808),\left(\frac{17}{4}, \frac{2279}{8}\right),\left(\frac{41}{4}, \frac{2293}{8}\right),\left(\frac{-89}{9}, \frac{7642}{27}\right)$ |
| 92025 | 4 | 1 | $(-30,255),(30,345),(60,555),(-45,30)$ |
| 94636 | 5 | 1 | ( $-10,306$ ), (-18, 298), $(110,1194),(45,431),(125,1431)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $r=$ rank of $E / Q$ |  |  |  |
| $t=$ order of the torsion group |  |  |  |

Number of integral and S-integral points
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